1 So I look forward to hearing from you if 2 my name is (indiscernible). I know it still, you 3 know, it still has to go to the state legislature 4 and that, so it's still not -- there's still a lot 5 to go and you still have, probably, a lot of 6 interviews. 7 So, again, thank you for what you're doing 8 for the State of California and the citizens of the 9 state. 10 CHAIR DICKISON: Thank you so much, Mr. 11 Gonzalez. 12 So our next interview begins at 1:15, so 13 we are going to recess this meeting until 1:14. 14 (Thereupon the Panel recessed at 12:07 p.m.) 15 (Whereupon the Panel reconvened at 1:14 p.m.) 16 CHAIR DICKISON: Good afternoon, I want to 17 call the meeting of the Applicant Review Panel back 18 to order. Mr. Coe, are you on the line? 19 PANEL MEMBER COE: I'm here, Ms. Dickison. 20 CHAIR DICKISON: Okay, thank you.

And Mr. Belnap are you in the room?

VICE CHAIR BELNAP: Yes, I am.

23 CHAIR DICKISON: Thank you.

21

24 I'd like to welcome Ms. Patricia Sinay. Welcome.

25 And then I'm going to turn it over to Mr. Chris

Dawson to read you the five standard questions.

MS. SINAY: Thank you.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Ms. Sinay, I'm going to ask you five standard questions that the panel has requested that each applicant respond to. Are you ready, ma'am?

MS. SINAY: Yes.

MR. DAWSON: First question. What skills and attributes should all Commissioners possess? What skills or competencies should the Commission possess collectively? Of the skills, attributes and competencies that each Commissioner should possess, which do you possess? In summary, how will you contribute to the success of the Commission?

MS. SINAY: Thank you. I'm humbled and honored to continue to be active in this process and have the opportunity to answer your questions.

Before we move to what skills and attributes should Commissioners possess I would take a step back and ask what is it that we want to accomplish? What is our end goal? And for me it's we want to build on the positive work of the first Commission, and increase civic trust and

participation, create a process that all who want to be part of, be it organizations, groups, individuals, do engage and are able to engage and leave the engagement feeling that they were heard.

A final product. We want a final product, obviously maps, that are very reflective of California's diversity. And long term we really want to increase civic trust, civic participation, civic engagement and strengthen our democracy.

So with those lofty goals in mind I would say that we would want collectively, meaning we don't each individually have to have these -- possess these skills. But collectively we want to make sure that we have individuals who are peoplecentered, who know how to engage the community, know how to bring out information from the community, as well as data-driven.

We all need to understand how to read data as well as how to be respectful and engage individuals. But we need to have a mix of those people who find that to be their strength.

We need to have an understanding of California, her diverse regions, communities and people. We want to make sure we reflect California and that everybody either sees themselves or hears

themselves in one of us.

All Commissioners need individual skills as well, but we all need to be really visionary and optimistic. We need to have a passion for democracy and the civic process and what can come out of this very engaging process.

We need to know how to promote equity or want to promote equity. It's more than just fairness. We need to understand the barriers that have kept others from certain communities of interest from engaging. And really intentionally look at how do we overcome those barriers?

We need to be prepared. If staff will take time to give us information we need to have read it and understand it, have our questions ready. When we engage with community, understand the community and be prepared in that way as well.

And in that same venue I think we need to be respectful and have empathy for staff, for Legislature, for the community who's going to be speaking with us, with our other Commissioners.

And continually trust the process, believe in the wisdom of crowds. We're going to be asking a lot of people to share their perspective. And we need to be open to the idea that we don't know

everything. And that the community knows their local piece and work with and facilitate those to bring all of the pieces together along with data. And so that wisdom of crowd will make for a better end product.

And I think you asked about me personally and what would I bring to the success. I have 30 years of experience strengthening civil society as a volunteer, a funder, a nonprofit employee, a consultant, a college instructor, a parent and an elected official. These experiences have really allowed me to learn, appreciate, and work with many diverse individuals at organizations and communities throughout California.

And personally, I was born in Mexico to a Peruvian mother and an Argentine father. We moved to the United States when I was four. And I've been engaged, worked in Northern California, Los Angeles, San Diego. I've lived and worked in those areas as well as been on fact-finding missions to Central Valley, San Bernardino, Riverside, Imperial County and Redland.

I approach all my work really creatively.

I try to think outside the box. For instance, I keep going back and forth as I prepared for this

interview thinking today is Census Day. How do we use the infrastructure that was created to take the Census? There's regional directors in each area of California. Those regional directors have partnered with community organizations who have hired individual leaders and outreach workers. So what can we learn from the coronavirus hitting the Census, right in the middle of the Census and what are the opportunities? So I keep playing around with that idea.

And one of my strengths is really collecting data that allows me to put a face on the numbers. It's easy to look at numbers and statistics and maps and forget that what's there underneath all that are actual individuals. When I first joined the school board staff kept sharing data about how well our school district did. But I asked, "Can we segregate out the data? Can we look at the low-income students, the English language learners, the special-needs students and see how their numbers compare?" Now they were still doing better than the county and the state, but they were lagging behind our other students. And so that allowed us to focus, to create new programming that could really focus on those students.

I'm honest and authentic to a fault. I'm constantly -- Conflict of interest is a big deal for me, and so I will always let you know what my bias is and try to engage others and have others put their bias forward or think through what their bias may be.

Finally, I'm a generalist. I haven't specialized in one area of one issue. I've gotten to work in health as well as environment as well as with arts organizations and philanthropy. And all of that allows me to have a broad understanding of the bigger picture. And I've also been privileged to work with people from the business sector, elected officials as well as new immigrants and unsheltered individuals and students. So I feel that all this, being a generalist I've learned how to ask questions to get the answers. And also sometimes to trust my gut when I'm not sure where I'm getting the right answer.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you.

Question two: Work on the Commission requires members of different political backgrounds to work together. Since the 2010 Commission was selected and formed the American political conversation has become increasingly polarized,

whether in the press, on social media, and even in our own families.

What characteristics do you possess -- and what characteristics should your fellow

Commissioners possess -- that will protect against hyper-partisanship?

What will you do to ensure that the work of the Commission is not seen as polarized or hyper-partisan and avoid perceptions of political bias and conflict?

MS. SINAY: Yeah, that's a critical question for this process with the work that we'll be doing as well as in these times.

I think first we'll need to acknowledge that partisanship is a bias and that we need to approach getting -- protecting against it just like we would any other bias. What I have learned is you first need uncover what the bias is and then acknowledge the bias and then each of us keep each other in check.

The characteristics that I possess that could help with some of that is besides professionally, I facilitate all the time diverse groups. And through that process I usually will speak with folks individually and try to uncover

what are the elephants in the room, what are they thinking. What I've learned from that process is that people will engage better when it's time to do the group facilitation, because they feel like someone has heard them already.

But I really and truly believe that people are well-intentioned. Though my work and views may align more with one political party, my marriage to a conservative Republican for the last 15 years has strengthened my ability to work across party lines. I've had to learn that we don't stop at the disagreements. I ask questions, he asks me questions, we dig a little deeper. Sometimes we get defensive, because we think the questions are trying to uncover something. But when we remember we generally care and are curious we're able to keep moving forward.

We don't have to agree. We just, for the sake of our relationship and our family, we just need to agree to disagree and really respect each other as humans. And that's the same thing we would need to do as Commissioners is come up with a way where we just respect each other even though we may disagree.

I aspire to be a bridge builder and

constantly look at how people are thinking about things and why they might, what lenses they're using, what experience they may have had to get them there. I can remember driving home one day and thinking about the gun control issue and how big it was and unruly it was. I couldn't figure out why people didn't see things the same way I did until I realized they didn't see things the same way I did. I viewed a gun pointed at me and as a threat, while others viewed it as a tool that they could use to protect themselves and their families. And with that understanding I was able to have better conversations with others.

When I was teaching at UC San Diego I used that example often with my students. And it did play an "aha" moment for everybody. And then we tried to use that same type of approach with other social issues that have really split our country or our communities.

I also was able to bring in conversations of ethics and use the University of Santa Clara's model of different ethical theories. And that helped with the students as well as myself. And I've had to remind myself often that two people may not agree on something, but they both may be right

depending on which ethical framework they're using. And that applies also to our political beliefs. So part of not being partisan is really acknowledging when we may be falling into that trap, trying to look at it from different angles and really looking to find that common ground.

One of the things I appreciate about myself is my diverse background. And that allows me to connect with almost everybody in just different ways. If I walk into a room and I tend to not look like everybody else in the room I may start the conversation by introducing myself as Patree-cia (phonetic), you know, say my name with a Spanish accent. And that will open up the intrigue of who am I and why am I saying my name that way? And connect with people in that way.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you.

Question three: What is the greatest problem the Commission could encounter?

And what actions would you take to avoid or respond to this problem?

MS. SINAY: Yes, I think that one would be actually easy for me to think through and that was civic distrust. It goes with your prior question about the polarization that exists right now.

People are not participating, not just in the electoral process, but maybe in community building or just they're not engaging politically. And that's because they don't believe in our public institutions. I believe that all Californians need to be engaged civically, so that our state can reach its full potential economically, politically, socially. And being civically engaged includes, but is not limited to giving your time, money, talents, being involved in community discussions, participating in like the census, voting, paying your taxes and then so much more.

And unfortunately, because some people don't trust their politicians or their elected officials, I often hear from my students as well as others that they don't vote. Why should they vote? And if people aren't voting their voices aren't being heard. And so to me this process will need to work together, the Commissioners and staff, to come to understand what are the legal boundaries we have in engaging with the community and come up with creative ways that do allow people to -- communities of interest as well as all communities -- to voice their opinions.

And because one size won't fit all we

can't go into every community expecting our engagement to look exactly the same. Meeting with a group in Bakersfield is very different than meeting with a group in West L.A.

And we all will constantly need to be aware of the power dynamics and the counter-kind of the power dynamics of here we come from Sacramento. We'll need to understand how does this community like to engage. How do they dress when they go to church and others? Can we model that, so that we don't look like outsiders, but we fit into their culture? There may be where do they like to meet? What is comfortable? What is common for them? Who are the leaders that need to kind of be the ones who introduce us into the community? Who do they trust? And just build on some of that.

So the civic distrust can be one of our barriers, but I think we can definitely overcome it.

MS. PELLMAN: We have 13 minutes, 22 seconds remaining.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you.

Question four: If you are selected, you will be one of 14 members of the Commission, which is charged with working together to create maps of

the new districts. Please describe a situation where you had to work collaboratively with others on a project to achieve a common goal.

Tell us the goal of the project, what your role in the group was, and how the group worked through any conflicts that arose.

What lessons would you take from this group experience to the Commission if selected?

MS. SINAY: Yeah, that as a facilitator of collaborations, this is an area that I kind of thrive in, is bringing in different perspectives.

And as I said earlier cookie-cutter approaches don't work in working with communities or working with groups.

Most recently I've been working with the Orange County Community Foundation on their Veterans Initiative in Orange County. And we have helped launch the Tierney Veterans Service Center. And that started with their goal is to make sure there's a front door for all veterans in Orange County and that that front door will help them connect to the services that will help them thrive as civilians.

And the work started, this whole vision of creating this began with me just meeting one-on-one

with a lot of our grantees, (phonetic) mainly the managers and the people on the front lines, the case workers, the ones who answer the phones, the outreach workers. And they were all saying that they could tell from talking to veterans, and the veterans were telling me this as well, that they were tired of telling their story over and over again, and giving their information.

And so different groups kept asking the same question of how can we do this better? And finally I shared with them my experience working in San Diego as part of Blue Star Families. That in San Diego they had started a project called Courage to Call, which brought different groups together. There was one phone number, one peer navigator, but it was all different groups working together. And so we started to envision what that would look like.

Two summers ago the front-lines folks met every week and created the framework. We took it to the funder. The funder got very excited about this idea and said, "Yes. We will fund some facilitation. And you have to help with the cost of collaborating and piloting this project."

Then we took it to the executives. So the

executives, they had heard about this, but it was easier to go to them with the backing of the funder. And that's where a lot of the lessons learned happened.

But before I go into the lessons learned I really want to share how exciting it was that within six months they did come up with a process. They did share one number, 2-1-1, which was hard for the group because that was part of their identity was their phone number. And also it hard for them to let go of the individuals may not come to them first. The veterans may not come to them first, but trust that if they were the right fit they would get the veteran.

So we were doing collective outreach, which made it more efficient. Because all the front-lines people

more efficient. Because all the front-lines people were saying they spent 60 percent of their time on outreach versus spending time with the vets, the actual veterans.

And I would say the most exciting part was that one of the funders, one of the funder partners, decided to help raise money to expand the Tierney Center and build out this empty warehouse, so that we could have more service providers be present to serve the veterans. So we're just in

the midst of all that.

The lessons learned was you need to create a vision, a common vision. Everyone needs to kind of see themselves in that vision, see their role, build on the strengths of all the partners, decide how you're going to make decisions, how you're going to -- are decisions going to be consensus model or are they going to be majority, simple majority?

You need to understand how do we go back on decisions, because we may have learned some additional information. So how do we move and how do we say we're okay? We've learned something and now we want to revisit it.

Everybody's got to leave their hats at the door or their egos at the door; however you want to say it. And one of the easiest ways to do that is continually going back to what is the vision? What is our goals? What is each of our jobs in this? Who else do we need at the table? It's a process, it's tough. But the more you start building trust and respecting each other you can hold each other accountable as well as help each other more.

Right now it's difficult times for nonprofits. And so the core organizations are

still working closely together and have actually been able to serve veterans better than before.

MR. DAWSON: Question five: A considerable amount of the Commission's work will involve meeting with people from all over California who come from very different backgrounds and a wide variety of perspectives.

If you are selected as a Commissioner, what skills and attributes will make you effective at interacting with people from different backgrounds and who have a variety of perspectives?

What experiences have you had that will help you be effective at understanding and appreciating people and communities of different backgrounds and who have a variety of perspectives?

MS. SINAY: My forte is working with diverse communities. I definitely get my energy from engaging and learning from communities and individuals who are not like, do not look like me or don't have my same experiences.

I also, as I said because of my background
I tend to be a connector. I have the privilege of
kind of navigating between lots of different
communities be it the donor, wealthy communities
and funding communities, as well as the academic

communities and grassroots leaders. And so I'm constantly navigating and connecting people in ways that they might not have thought of.

My lived experience is really what kind of motivates me and what I fall back on. I don't always have the answers, but I try. I know where to kind of look for or who to ask for answers.

And I'm okay being wrong. I'm okay making mistakes. I think a lot of times when we're trying to navigate relationships with diverse communities we are afraid of making, insulting someone or looking dumb or bad. I've learned that humor and being able to laugh at yourself and just kind of be authentic and ask questions when you need to ask questions helps move people forward.

When I first got to San Diego it was to work with the San Diego Foundation. And all the projects that I worked with them was kind of, they were new communities for the foundation. This was 22 years ago. And I managed the San Diego Lesbian and Gay Funding Partnership, the Southern California Citizenship Fund which its purpose was to help vulnerable illegal immigrants in the five counties in Southern California to become U.S. citizens. The Intergroup Relations Project, which

was bringing new neighbors and new immigrants and their established neighbors together to problem-solve. And also we worked with the neighborhood groups.

And I had to come up with how do I engage with these communities? They don't know who I am. I don't know who they are. They don't know who the San Diego Foundation is. And I started by first going to the large nonprofits that served that area and spoke with their leadership and their outreach workers. And then kept asking for them to connect me with two to five other people.

And then they found where are people meeting? Are there meetings that happen for instance in El Cajon they have the El Cajon Education Collaborative. And so I attended that collaborative meeting, asked ahead of time if we could be part of the agenda and just added two or three questions. And in most cases I didn't facilitate, I didn't ask the questions. I allowed the facilitator who they already trust and knew to ask the questions.

And slowly as we moved from one community to the other, be it an African community or a Hmong community people kept seeing me and the trust grew.

And as we funded programs and we were still engaged, things continued. But that's kind of been my experience, one of my experiences here in San Diego, when I first got here on how I engaged with diverse communities.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you. We will now go to panel questions. Each panel member will have 20 minutes to ask his or her questions.

And we'll start with the Chair, Ms. Dickison.

CHAIR DICKISON: Thank you. You answered some my questions, but let's see. So in your first essay you talked about your experiences in registering to vote and volunteering at a campaign. And then you said you created similar opportunities for your students to be engaged in elections and communities, problem solving.

MS. SINAY: Uh-huh.

CHAIR DICKISON: What are some of the things that you did in order to provide those opportunities to your students?

MS. SINAY: On off-election years, offelection presidential years which is most of the
time, the students got to choose a problem, a
community problem, that they were passionate about.

It could be cancer, finding a cure to cancer, or educational equity or it could be any topic.

And then I would have them actually flip it so it wasn't a problem, but a vision and really think through what would be different if that problem was solved. So their paper wasn't about solving cancer and how all three sectors should all work towards solving cancer, but it was about a vision of a world without cancer. And what would the independent nonprofit, philanthropic sector, and faith-based, the private sector, business sector and government have to do? What are their strengths? How would they have to engage together to solve that? And so that allowed them to actually think through the positives of all the different sectors.

I also would bring in speakers that were doing public service in the community, everyone from the president of San Diego State, San Diego State at the time, to former students who were now environmental activists. And they had that opportunity to ask questions and create a one-on-one relationship with them.

During election years I would always make sure to invite the League of Women Voters to kind

of walk through all the propositions in their nonpartisan way, so that the students would know where to get information when they left college.

And then on presidential election years because that was kind of where a lot of the energy was, and these were usually students who it was their first time they were getting to vote, I would encourage them to get involved in electoral process. To volunteer at least 10 hours either to a campaign, it didn't matter whose campaign and if it would be at the presidential level or the local level. But to get involved in a campaign and a voter registration drive, at a poll booth or in counting ballots, the night those ballots came in work at the Office of the Registrar. And that just kind of sparked that energy and that kind of excitement about the whole electoral system.

Many times part of the minor, or the Public Service Minor was to do an internship. And I would work with them to identify what organizations might work best for them and what they might learn.

One of the things about my experience was that I had just become a U.S. citizen and registered to vote within the hour. And that year

was also a presidential year. So it was just a very civically-minded year for me, it was a lot of changes in that way. But I can still remember just the buzz and the excitement of being part of the process.

But the final thing I do as I would bring in candidates or people who had run, especially people who had run and won by less than 100 votes or lost by less than 100 votes, so that they could hear their story and really understand that locally all votes matter.

CHAIR DICKISON: Okay. Thank you.

In your other relevant information, and you've talked also in your responses about all of the different groups you have worked with, dreamers, youth immigrants, refugees, English learners, veterans, military families and LGBTQ community, what's drawn you to do this type of work?

MS. SINAY: What a great question. I think it's really my passion for everyone to be involved and engaged. And my curiosity on just understanding the places I live. And thriving in diversity. Maybe that's why I love to travel as well. Just the want to explore new things. But

also, not just in a tourist sense, but also to be an ambassador and bring people in and connect.

I'd also say that I believe that it is possible to build opportunities, so that all can be successful. And I want to be able to always hear someone's story and see what can we do to differently, so everyone can meet their potential at success.

about that you went to San Diego to work with the -- to implement a program to help naturalize illegal immigrants in Southern California. You talked about in your essay response that San Diego is more conservative politically and that you weren't sure that all your interactions would be -- you know, that they would agree with your interactions. What did you learn about the San Diego community or your community once you got in and started doing that work?

MS. SINAY: I definitely learned to listen and not to judge. And to ask questions using words that -- mainly using a new vocabulary. I really think it was the best thing I've done professionally, even personally, was to engage in communities that I couldn't assume that they agreed

with the work I was doing or it was easy in a lot of the places I lived in to assume that everybody agreed with me.

But I appreciate that I had moved to San Diego and I've stayed here for 22 years, so I've thrived in San Diego. Just opening up and it's not that I hadn't been around others who thought conservative. You know, I grew up in that environment and living in DC the joke was always that I had more friends that thought differently than me.

But the main thing I've learned was to listen, to not assume, but if I did assume and I made a mistake, to laugh. And to really find that common ground. What is it that people want for the public good? The region has changed so much since then. But I remember just having some great laughs during those first few years. I had some cries too. I won't deny it. But I had some great laughs with people just saying, "Wait, I'm not getting you," and they weren't getting me.

CHAIR DICKISON: So one of the things the Commission is going to need to do and you've talked about it, is reach out to the different groups and identify different communities of interest. Based

on your experiences, what skills and knowledge will you bring that's going to benefit the Commission in identifying those communities of interest and maybe those that aren't as easy to find?

MS. SINAY: Yeah, I think first thing is I would be able to lean on my network. I have been part of HOPE, Hispanas Organized for Political Equity. And every year they choose 25 Latinos from throughout California for a leadership program. So I would be able to have the Commission -- with the Commission obviously there's certain rules about how you can do certain things. But the network can help identify -- most of the people in that network are all either community leaders, elected leaders and would be able to give some information about who those communities are.

The other thing I've learned is this isn't always possible, but when you drive, and you drive on roads instead of on freeways and look around things will pop up that make you understand. Like there is a community, a different -- this is more of a Korean community or this is more Central American versus Latino, just looking at the storefronts and things like that.

Again you can always start by asking the

local elected officials as well as the local community foundations, the nonprofits, usually the nonprofits that are service providers, the community clinics will know the different communities that are there. Because all communities of interest, all individuals need to go to the supermarket, they need health care, they need schools. So going to school districts is another good way. Some communities of interest obviously are harder to identify, be either their sexual orientation or their political perspective. But there are ways to just keep digging deeper and never feeling satisfied that you have already uncovered every community there is.

CHAIR DICKISON: Okay. So one of the things that the last Commission noted was that during some of their public meetings they felt like there were certain individuals or groups that showed up and would state that they were representing certain communities and that maybe they weren't and they actually had an agenda. What do you think, do you think your experiences will give you a little bit different perspective and maybe an ability to identify those individuals?

MS. SINAY: Yeah. I think as I said

earlier I tend to trust people. But at the same time I have learned to trust my gut. And if I get that feel I would -- I think we need to ask questions in different ways.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Another thought, because I had heard about that and I said well how do you -- I think that you all are doing a great job on transparency and sharing information and letting people be able to watch the interviews and watch you all think through the process. But we may want to think through how do you do a feedback loop to when you meet with a community? If you've heard -- that way you could ask people, "Did we hear you correctly?" But also by putting it out there in a simple way in a feedback loop others can step up and say, "Wait. we don't know who that was." Or, "That's not what we think." And so it's being kind of a vehicle, not just to be transparent but also to collect information. In order to understand if someone really reflects the community or not you can't just have one person be that representative of that community. You need to go a little deeper. And so just one interaction with one person or two people from a community of

interest isn't going to give you the information

that you need. To be authentic you need to kind of have further conversations and find other ways, because I know we can't go back traveling to every community over and over again but finding other ways to gather that information.

CHAIR DICKISON: Thank you.

professionally.

MS. PELLMAN: We have 5 minutes, 54 seconds remaining.

CHAIR DICKISON: Thank you.

Have you had to use census data and mapping in any of the work that you've done?

MS. SINAY: Yes. I believe it's great to start with the data in looking at the numbers. When I helped facilitate and started the City Heights Partnership for Children the whole idea was to come up with social indicators in City Heights, which is one of the neighborhoods in San Diego with the most diversity of immigrants, refugees, lowest-income community. The school districts there's just a lot of different languages that are spoken there. And we wanted to figure out what were indicators of success from a child being zero all the way through what they decide to do

And to be able to do that we needed to

collect the census data as well as data from the -- educational data from the California

Department of Education, health data. And it wasn't always easy, because sometimes census tracts do not match with your neighborhood, neighborhood outline. And so that's one of the reasons I know how important a lot of this work is, is being able to understand how to use that data.

I also have used voter registration data. And when I ran my campaign I needed to use a lot of that voter data.

I believe in, again, in using data but digging a little deeper. Data is only as good as the questions you're asking it to solve. And sometimes you need to be clearer on your questions and make sure you're asking the right questions.

CHAIR DICKISON: Okay. Thank you.

Secretary, can I get a time check?

MS. PELLMAN: Yes. We have 3 minutes, 30 seconds remaining.

CHAIR DICKISON: Thank you.

Okay, if you were selected as one of the first eight Commissioners which are selected randomly what would you be looking for in selecting the next six?

MS. SINAY: Yeah I've thought about that a lot, just because from the beginning when you hear how this process works it's like wow, okay. If I was lucky to be one of the first eight it's kind of a chicken-and-egg scenario, but I think I would start with creating a matrix that really reflects all the info, what would make the ideal Commission? What skill sets, what geographic mix, what political mix, what gender mix, what characteristics, all those things that you all have been looking at up until this point. And just create just a matrix and then put that matrix aside.

And then review all the candidates, all the applicants that are still available, that are still in the process. And read them each with a clear, just open mind. And using maybe some of the tools that you have all used as well, in each of the eight Commissioners kind of review that, figure out where they're at.

And then go back to the matrix and as a group work to kind of identify who are the top candidates of those that are still around? And then go back to the matrix, fill in the matrix with all the information of all the already existing

candidates, the already existing Commissioners and look to see what's missing? What do we need to make sure that we create the best Commission possible? What skill sets, what diversity, what do we need to create our own wisdom of crowds?

And then go back to the individuals. And the reason that process, I thought through that process, is you don't want to just pick someone because they fit the box of this person is from Los Angeles, this Asian woman, and over 60 with a PhD, which would be ideal if those were all the boxes you needed. But you want to be able to look at all the applicants and see what their strengths are.

CHAIR DICKISON: Thank you so much.

At this time I do not have any further questions.

So I'm going to pass it to Mr. Belnap.

VICE CHAIR BELNAP: Thank you. Good afternoon, Mrs. Sinay. In your application you talk about your time as a lecturer at UC San Diego and that you taught students in the Public Service Minor. What classes did you teach in that minor?

MS. SINAY: Well, I taught the Introduction to Public Service. It was a minor within one of the colleges at UCSD, UCSD has six colleges. And I also taught some other classes but

the main one I taught for that, for my 13 years there, was the Intro to Public Service.

VICE CHAIR BELNAP: In that class did you touch on impartiality and the principles associated with impartiality?

MS. SINAY: Yeah. And a lot of it was along with the whole concept of being biased as well, but to deal with how do you stay impartial what you need to do. And I also shared a lot of my own experience as a facilitator as well as a school board member how I would have this kind of struggle. You know, I think impartiality, you have to be aware it and you have to be intentional and so I would share that.

VICE CHAIR BELNAP: So what is something that you would tell the students regarding impartiality and how they can maintain impartiality?

MS. SINAY: First you need to identify what your biases are. Be very clear on what your assumptions might be. It depends, it's hard to talk in an ambiguous. But for instance when I was on the school board, but I was running to be reelected I was the only incumbent running and the parents were -- there was a lot of tension with the

parents and we had to change some principals around.

And you see this often when someone is running for office people say either you vote this way or we're not going to vote for you. We're going to make sure to get you out of office. And one of the things I would always share with my students as well as newly-elected individuals is when you're in any type of group-dynamic governing situation, you need to be able to stay focused on the task at hand. If you're an elected official when you're meeting as a group you're there to govern. You're not there to win votes or to worry about that aspect of it.

And so with impartiality, part of it I will always share what my biases are or what I am thinking. But always staying, looking at what is the purpose of what we're trying to do. And obviously I'm talking about in a group dynamic. But what is the purpose? What is the vision? And what is the best way to get there? Not necessarily what is it that I would like to see or what do I think is the best way to get there, but really going back to the database, going back to what we're learning. And if I don't agree with someone

really working through how are they seeing it different. Can I learn from what they're seeing?

VICE CHAIR BELNAP: All right, thank you.

Can you tell us about a time where you discovered that you had a bias or a preconceived notion, even it wasn't -- rise to the level of a bias -- that you had to set aside to come to a decision?

MS. SINAY: There's a lot of them running through my head right now, but one of my favorites is I was facilitating an arts organization and helping them think through what are the values of your organization? And they kept coming back to — and I said, "Your values will be your concepts and that's how you make decisions." And then they kept going back to "Hip." And I'm like, "Hip?" They're like, "Yeah. we want to be the in-place, the in-organization." And I was like, "Well that's not a value."

But I pressed them a little more to try to figure out what they meant by that. And by the end they were really good at telling me that really "hip" was one of their values. Every event they did they wanted it to be cutting-edge. Even their office space was cutting-edge and their communications. I mean it's a silly one, but it is

an example of I was, as facilitator had to stay kind of neutral, but at the same time I wanted to make sure that the process came up with something legitimate.

VICE CHAIR BELNAP: All right. Thank you. Do you feel like you have any other biases that you've uncovered?

MS. SINAY: Oh, yeah I have a bias towards the underdog, in whatever that group may be. It could be the political minority that their voice isn't being heard or gender. I will always try, I will always go in that direction. I know when reviewing proposals or reviewing scholarship applications my bias usually is for the underserved or the vulnerable communities.

VICE CHAIR BELNAP: All right. Thank you.

So I'd like you to tell us more about the San Francisco Foundation. Why you devoted your time to work for this foundation and what you learned from this experience.

MS. SINAY: So the San Francisco

Foundation, I was living up in the Bay Area at the time and it was a fellowship. It was a great opportunity to actually go into the field of philanthropy. When you work nonprofits the idea of

giving away the money sounds so great, because you're always looking for money. And the opportunity presented itself, I submitted my application and was hired. And it was a tough decision, because at the same time that I was offered the fellowship my boyfriend at the time got promoted and had to move to San Diego. But the opportunity was so great to work with the foundation.

And my fellowship was short there, because as I was looking at what opportunities there would be in San Diego -- it was supposed to be a one-year to two-year commitment -- what opportunities there were in San Diego. There weren't as many opportunities in that philanthropic or binational civil engagement area at the time. Now there's a lot of great things happening here. And so I received an offer from San Diego Foundation, so I moved down here within 10 months of starting with the San Francisco Foundation.

VICE CHAIR BELNAP: All right. Thank you.

You indicate that you do work as a

facilitator. Tell me about the training that was
involved to get to the point where you could be a
professional facilitator.

MS. SINAY: Part of it was my work at the same San Diego Foundation. Our grant-making and a lot of our programs were done by volunteers. So you would have a committee of volunteers who made the decisions. And I had to learn to keep my mouth quiet. I had to learn to listen better and bring out the different perspectives. And so I started kind of facilitating meetings. And I noticed that I could get people to speak and gather information in ways that were unique. And so then I did go through different trainings.

And when I started my own consulting business I kept being hired to facilitate. What's the difference between an amateur athlete and a professional athlete? Usually it's you get paid, so I got paid. But I'm constantly looking for different models and ways to facilitate, because I don't think one tool works for everybody. And so I usually will bring in either a TOPS model or appreciative inquiry, (phonetic) just different things that work.

VICE CHAIR BELNAP: So in a realm of facilitation I'd like to hear about a success story, something that you experienced that you would consider to be successful. And also maybe a

failure in that realm.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SINAY: Yeah, so a success story would be something like the veterans' story that I told earlier. I've been really excited to have the opportunity to work with different organizations in that way including the City Heights Partnership for Children. But more around sometimes just working with parents. I worked with the First 5 and I had to collect information from parents to figure out how First 5 could invest better in parent education. And those convenings, those facilitations were usually parents and grandparents were watching their grandchildren and it could be in multiple languages. And if it was in Spanish and English, I'm okay because I can switch really easily between the two languages. But we would have them sometimes up to five different languages.

And one of the things I learned was to look at the individual who was speaking, the parent who was speaking and not the interpreter because sometimes someone would go on for a long time and then the interpreter would say three words. I'm like, "I don't think that was right." And I'd give this look to the interpreter and the parent would laugh and say, "Yeah." And so I'm like, "Okay get

me more information." And so that was kind of a success.

My favorite story in that regard was we met with Muslim African women. And we were asking them -- I was like oh this is going to be difficult, because the women, we didn't know if they were literate or not. And we didn't know how comfortable they were going to be feeling and telling us their stories. And so I started with, "Think about a time that you were proud of being a parent." And then I had them draw it. "You can draw it or you can write it, but just think about it."

And then I had to come to the point of asking them to share. And I was like okay, let's see if this even worked. And I started picking someone to share what they came up with. And every hand went up. And they were just so excited. And I realized at that moment that starting on the positive or coming in and saying, "Okay, what are your problems with your kids?" It was starting with the positives.

Times I have failed. The one that jumps out is it was at 6:30. Everyone had to commute there and it took everyone forever to get there. I don't

1 remember if we had food or we didn't have food. 2 And I kind of just rushed the process versus 3 letting the process take time. And I just walked 4 away thinking this one did not come out right at 5 all. And I was able to fail forward. I called the 6 Executive Director the next day and he and I worked 7 together and thought through okay, how do we do 8 this better? And we both agreed that it was a 9 failure. And from there working with the Executive 10 Director I was able to create a new process that 11 now I use often with other organizations to just 12 kind of help them follow along, kind of a strategic 13 planning process. 14 VICE CHAIR BELNAP: All right. Thank you. 15 Madam Chair I have no further questions. 16 MR. DAWSON: Did we lose our Chair? 17 CHAIR DICKISON: Oh, I'm sorry. You did 18 lose me for a second. I am back. 19 Thank you, Mr. Belnap. 20 Mr. Coe?

PANEL MEMBER COE: Thank you.

Good afternoon Ms. Sinay. Thank you for taking the time to speak with us this afternoon.

MS. SINAY: Of course.

21

22

23

24

25

PANEL MEMBER COE: In your first essay you

describe how your family immigrated to the United States from Mexico. And you talked about that a little briefly before in this interview. I know you were only four years old, but I'm wondering what you remember of that experience. And maybe how your status as an immigrant affected the person you became today.

MS. SINAY: Yeah. I mean it obviously affects who I am today. I was four. I can't remember that. I can remember leaving Mexico. My dad had bought me a special gift and he was trying to explain. That's like my last memory of leaving Mexico. My first memory of being in the United States was being with my aunt and uncle in Texas and jumping on their bed. I don't remember much about the drive in between. We actually did drive from Mexico City to Philadelphia. I don't know how my parents did it in a small little car with two kids.

But I think my -- I can remember certain things about my education. Usually people didn't assume that I was an immigrant, because I was White. I didn't go to kindergarten so, I mean, I didn't go to preschool to learn English, so I did learn English in the playground and watching TV.

And according to my mom I did fine when I got into kindergarten -- I know that I did have -- they would pull me aside to do English Learner classes.

And I always kind of didn't like them, because I would be watching the other kids do the fun things.

It was usually during art and other periods.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But one of my biggest memories as a child was I was excelling in reading. And I was in one of the higher reading groups in first grade. And my mom came to volunteer and my mom had a thick accent and the teachers were kind of, trying -didn't know what to do. It wasn't what they expected. And she didn't follow directions very well. And I don't think she understood the directions. And we were only supposed to read three pages and we ended up reading the whole book. And the next day I was put into the remedial reading class. And I remember just looking and going I've already read this. Why am I reading this again? And so there were some examples like that throughout my life. And mainly just now being an adult I'm able to look back and say, "Oh, that's what was -- it was because I was an immigrant or my mama's accent." Then I was able to figure it out.

But I also do have privilege. I mean I'm a white Latina and I've been called on it throughout my life. On the one side when I went from high school to college my peers in high school told me, "You only got into UCLA, because you're a minority." And then when I was at UCLA and wanted to engage with other groups that were minority that were Latinos they'd be like, "Ugh, you're white."

And so its made me really have to think through what are my privileges and how can I use that privilege for the greater good? And so I understand I have the privilege of education, being multicultural as well as bilingual. Latinos of my generation, most of them were told not to learn Spanish. And so that also is a privilege that I am fully bilingual.

PANEL MEMBER COE: Thank you for that. Do you think that having a perspective of an immigrant could help the Commission in some way?

MS. SINAY: Oh definitely. It helps.

Everyone's immigrant experience is different, but
we all kind of have stories that we can share. One
of my really close friends, her family immigrated
from Vietnam the same year as my family. They were
refugees. And some things, we ended up bonding

because we did come to this country at the same time. And some of the things our parents will say, not necessarily because of the year or the time, but because they were from the outside, considered outsiders.

One of the ways I bond with immigrant youth a lot of times is I'll ask them, "So does your mom let you spend the night at anyone's house?" And they'll be like, "Oh no." And I'm like, "Yeah. My mom used to always say, 'Why do you sleep in a stranger's house when you have a perfectly good bed here?'" And that, just the fact that we've had that common experience allows us to have other conversations.

PANEL MEMBER COE: Thank you. This has been touched on briefly, but in the activity section of your application you list many volunteer efforts you've been a part of, including three organizations for which you are a founding member. I'm wondering if you can just briefly give us a little bit of information about what these organizations were and why you chose to be a founding member of them.

MS. SINAY: Yeah, so all three organizations are giving circles, which means

individuals come together and they pool their money together and then give it out to the community collectively. And in all three cases, they were -- two of them were Latino giving circles. So there's kind of this stereotype that Latinos are -- need money, need, are always in the need, not as a strong as an asset. And so starting opportunities where we could bring together Latinas and Latinos to really think through, to really show that we had assets and we had wealth and that we could do philanthropy was important to me.

And both of them were in San Diego. And they used kind of different models. There's just different models in giving circles, some are give what you can and bring in a lot of people. And others are smaller circles with a minimum amount to give.

And there was also Women's Give, which was kind of a different -- we've got a lot of giving circles in San Diego. Women's Give was a larger giving circle in that they used in a creative way of looking at women by ages. And so what you gave depended on how old you were or where you were in your life trajectory.

They were all important to me because I

feel that we can all be philanthropists, we can give. And sometimes we need to dig a little deeper and think through our values. You can buy a hamburger or you can give that same money to a food bank. You know, just being able to have those conversations in all communities.

I always joked around when I was a funder and I was funding organizations I'd say, "Yeah. You come to me and you say that you're going to create individuals. You're going to help individuals be strong and self-sufficient. But then you keep coming to me for funding. Have you gone back to those individuals who are now strong and self-sufficient and ask them to kind of pay it forward?" And so I'm a true believer that everyone has the capacity to be a philanthropist in some way or be engaged in a community.

PANEL MEMBER COE: Thank you.

I want to go back to something that you've mentioned a couple of times this afternoon. And that's the need to be aware of or uncover what biases are present. And I think most of the conversation has been centered around within yourself or within the individual understanding their own biases. And my question is kind of two

parts.

One is how do you -- because you have given us some examples about how you have identified within yourself some biases, so what strategies do you use to understand that?

And the second part of the question is not everybody is as in tune with that skill. And if you're working on a team, like this Commission will be 13 other people and some of them aren't quite as in tune with that skill, what you can do to help make folks more aware of that, so that it doesn't influence maybe unknowingly decisions that the team is making.

MS. SINAY: Great question. I think it's about figuring out how to ask the right -- usually you can figure out someone else's bias pretty quickly. And you can't just say, "Hey, you're biased against women or this or that," because the person will get really defensive. But what I usually do is I lead with myself and share what biases I have and how I've uncovered them. That's one way.

The other way is to ask questions. As you were reading this what struck you? Or one of my favorite ways of doing it is saying, "Those moments

that you feel uncomfortable, that you want to blurt out something or you just feel angry or you feel very uncomfortable write them down, because that's going to be your learning opportunity. And that's where you can go a little deeper."

A lot of times people will say something, because they assume everybody else agrees with them or their bias. And just saying, "Tell me a little bit more, I'm not quite understanding you." It's important, as we said it's important to uncover the bias, be it political or other. And once we've uncovered it we need to hold each other accountable and say, "I've noticed that you didn't think five people who spoke were being authentic and all five people are women." I'm just being blunt, but it's being observant about other people's decisions. And figuring out how to ask questions versus saying it point blank.

PANEL MEMBER COE: Thank you.

I want to touch briefly -- I think the other panelists have asked about this in some capacity, but you've had a lot of experience working with or representing or working on behalf of diverse groups of people. And I'm curious what it is that you have learned from your experiences

working with those people that you think would make you a particularly effective representative for the diverse populations of California on this Commission?

MS. SINAY: Well each of them. All the people that I've had the privilege of working with, the diverse people, do have their unique stories. There are some threads that run through them. But they have all taught me how to listen better and ask better questions. And even figure out exactly -- you know, as I was saying earlier certain things like if we all walk in to do a community group dressed in suits we're not going to get the same input from the community, especially some communities of interest, than if we were to go business casual.

And so it's thinking - it's being able to ask certain questions. Some communities, their concept of time is very different than the American concept of time where you show up on time. And so I've learned that when people say, "Call me in the afternoon," to ask, "What time is it, the afternoon, for you?" to just get that clarity. And that goes along with if you're offering food or how you ask questions, when do you speak, what's polite

and not polite.

And also what people's fears are. I think that's been one of the things that I've been able to help build bridges is to be able to say, "Look things are a little different," for other groups. That question, the way we're thinking about it, isn't going to get us the information we want for these reasons." And so it may be changing the way we look at things.

But it's just kind of become part of who I am. And so it's hard to answer your question succinctly.

PANEL MEMBER COE: I understand. Thank you.

I want to go back to something I thought I heard you say in response to one of the standard questions. It was in regards to the -- we've been talking a lot in our interviews about the current situation with COVID-19 and how that could affect the work of the Commission along with that conversation that's been centered on effect on the Census. Could it have some effect on the Census? But most of the potential results of the COVID-19 pandemic affecting the work of the Commission has been kind of cast in a negative light. You used

the term "opportunities" that could come with this, which is painting it more in a positive manner.

And I'm curious if you can expand a little more on that? What type of opportunities do you see that could potentially come out of this situation that is mostly negative?

MS. SINAY: Yeah, so as I said at the very beginning I'm an optimist. And I do try to look at opportunities. One of the opportunities that surprised me that I've learned, because I've been spending a lot of time listening — there's all sorts of webinars and stuff to try to help nonprofits right now. And I know that nonprofits who need those don't have an hour to sit and listen to them, so I've been listening to them and synthesizing the main points and getting them out to the community.

And one of the things that they said was, "Make sure that you're making calls right now to your donors." And actually appeals that are phone appeals are higher than ever, because people are home and are hungry to talk to people. And so when you think about the Census I've talked to a few people and say, "Hey, how are you changing your strategies?" And helped them brainstorm on their

strategies.

And they were like, "Well we're going to start making calls." I'm like, "Well let me tell you what I've heard about fundraising. Let's keep in mind that people are home and they are hungry to do something." So they're hungry to talk to you on the phone as well as probably do the Census. So it is a hard time because we know that face-to-face, knocking on doors is the best way. But this, I believe in the generative power of community in the humans, and we constantly are always thinking of solutions and moving forward in our civic participation or civic engagement.

And I think the Commission has this opportunity of the redistricting efforts to build on the Census. So obviously we use the data of the Census, but also the efforts to collect that information. And we could use what the original plans were, which were good plans to collect information. But also learn what came out of having to recreate their outreach plans on the fly, really with barely any time.

MS. PELLMAN: We have 2 minutes 50 seconds remaining.

PANEL MEMBER COE: Thank you.

One further question for you Ms. Sinay.

If you were to be appointed to this Commission, which aspects of that role do you think that you would enjoy the most? And which aspects conversely do you think you might perhaps struggle with a little bit?

MS. SINAY: I would absolutely thrive in connecting with diverse communities throughout California and hearing their stories and getting their input. I would enjoy looking at the data and trying to connect that to this, what we've learned in speaking to people.

And did you also say what will I not like?

PANEL MEMBER COE: Yeah, which aspects of
the role do you think you might struggle with a
little bit?

MS. SINAY: I would struggle a little bit with, I don't know if you use the Brown Act or which legal parameters are used. To me sometimes meetings tend to be -- and often -- not as authentic when you have to follow the Brown Act or others. People don't understand why you can't respond or you can't engage in different ways. But I think what I learned with the school board was to ask the question, "Are we doing this because this

is how we've always done it? Or do we legally have to do it this way?" And that would actually spark conversations about how can we do things differently.

The other piece would be people. Not everyone is going to be happy with the final decision. And that's always kind of hard when people are critiquing the hard work that you do as a group. But on the flip side I would say that we should expect it. If we've done our job well, we've been able to connect with new, you know, more communities of interest and get more perspectives and things will change, minds will change. And that is uncomfortable for people because it might change their -- politically or culturally -- and that part is hard. And so if we weren't critiqued then we know we didn't do our job correctly. And so we should be expecting that.

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{And}}$  I think the final thing that I might --

MS. PELLMAN: 30 seconds remaining.

MS. SINAY: Okay. I know that it is a 10year term. But most of the work is in the front
end. And I think I would want to be engaged all 10
years. I think there's opportunities for the

Commission to help local redistricting efforts and how do we share our expertise at other levels.

PANEL MEMBER COE: Thank you very much,
Ms. Sinay.

Madam Chair, no further questions.

MR. DAWSON: Do we have the Chair?

MS. SINAY: You're on mute.

CHAIR DICKISON: Oh, there we go. You lost me for just a second. Mr. Dawson, do you have any questions?

MR. DAWSON: Just one or two. Thank you 12 Madam Chair.

MS. PELLMAN: Mr. Dawson, we have 9 minutes 30 seconds remaining.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you.

Ms. Sinay, I really appreciate you mentioning the Public Meeting Act. It's actually the Bagley-Keene Act. But if you are familiar with the Brown Act you're familiar with Bagley-Keene.

So one of the things that you had said in response to standard question one was you wanted to back it up and say, "Well first of all let's ask ourselves what do we want to accomplish?"

Obviously we need to draw maps or the Commission needs to draw maps. That's what's required in the

constitution. But then you also said, "Also to increase civic engagement." And so my question is what is the CRC's role in increasing civic engagement and how should it go about that?

MS. SINAY: Well just through the whole process of getting community input is going to be increasing that civic trust. And the more people are involved, kind of like how I said trying to put that excitement of civic participation in my students, I think it's the same as we move forward and engage people in the process and we hear them and we look back.

If we do our job right then we should be creating districts that are going to be more representative. And therefore, more people will want to be involved and will feel the opportunity and the possibility to be involved in different ways.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you.

You mentioned in your application when you worked at the SF Foundation you helped develop a mapping tool. Can you tell me about that?

MS. SINAY: Yes. This was 23 years ago when a lot of the mapping tools that non-mappers could use first started coming out and so it was

the GIS system. And what we did was we took Census data and tried to be figuring out exactly where needs were, different data to find out where their needs were. And then using GIS, we put in all this information from our grantees.

And so in our naiveté we thought we would pull it up and we would see -- we could see if our funding is going exactly where it needs to and stuff. But what we hadn't quite thought through was a lot of organizations' address isn't necessarily where they're serving the community. And so that process didn't work as well as we had hoped, but it got us thinking through, "Okay we can't just map the physical address, but we need to understand where the grant is." They might be based in San Francisco, but they're actually funding Oakland.

They're actually doing their work in Oakland. And so we had to keep coming up with ways to play around with the data.

MR. DAWSON: All right, thank you.

That's all I have. Do the panel members have any additional follow-ups? Madam Chair?

MS. SINAY: You're on mute.

24 CHAIR DICKISON: I do not have any follow-25 up questions.

Mr. Belnap, do you have any?

VICE CHAIR BELNAP: I do not.

CHAIR DICKISON: Mr. Coe?

PANEL MEMBER COE: No follow-up questions.

CHAIR DICKISON: And no follow-up

questions, Mr. Dawson?

MR. DAWSON: Madam Secretary, what's the time?

MS. PELLMAN: You've got 5 minutes and 50 seconds remaining.

MR. DAWSON: All right. Thank you.

Ms. Sinay I'd like to offer you the opportunity to make a closing statement to the panel with the time remaining if you wish.

MS. SINAY: Sure. I did write a few things down, because I saw you give others. I want to thank you for your good work. You all have worked really, really hard and have really been patient and respectful. People have asked me about the process and have said, "Well, how can someone go through this if they've got to have the high education" -- they are making a lot of assumptions on a bias.

And I always tell the story of one of the interactions. I've seen it a couple of times where

you've been looking at who to move forward. And it was like okay, we can move this person forward, but I think we have a lot of PhDs, so let's put them in the "maybe." But this person is a mail delivery. And he's got experience on the ground and really understanding the importance of maps and understanding the community and how you have to do that together.

And I've heard you a few times say, "All right what would happen if we have too many lawyers or people of a legal background?" And I think that that open process has really helped people understand how committed the three of you and your team have been to making this work. So I thank you for that.

The first Citizen Redistricting Commission did an exemplary job. And now we need to look at 2020 and using a lot of what they learned. I firmly believe that our community problems and social inequities can be resolved by building on the access of private, public and independent sectors. I believe in the ingenuity of people. And that the Commission through its members will intersect all three sectors and will be able to create a free and fair redistricting process.

1 The results of our political districts 2 being drawn to be more reflective of California's 3 geographic, political, economic and ethnic 4 diversity will be stronger candidates, better 5 policies and a more equitable access to 6 opportunities for all -- really a stronger 7 democracy. Being part of that process for a 8 positive community change that strengthens our 9 democracy is why I'm pursuing this opportunity to 10 be a Commissioner.

I'm the right Commissioner at this time because of my vast personal and professional experience, my understanding of the Commission's past successes and my vision for California. In short, this opportunity for me is an opportunity to really thrive. I'd be working with and for all Californians.

Thank you again for giving this opportunity to share a little bit more about myself and as well for creating such an amazing process. Thanks.

MS. PELLMAN: Ms. Sinay, this is Shauna.

MS. SINAY: Hi.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. PELLMAN: Hi. Could you stay on the line for a few minutes just in case our court

reporter has any questions about stuff? Thank you.

MR. DAWSON: Did we lose the Chair?

3 VICE CHAIR BELNAP: We lost her.

MR. DAWSON: Are you the Vice Chair?

VICE CHAIR BELNAP: Yeah, I am. So as the Vice Chair I'm going to take this meeting into recess. And we're coming back here at 2:59 for a 3:00 o'clock interview.

9 (Thereupon the Panel recessed at 2:42 p.m.)

10 (Whereupon the Panel reconvened at 2:59 p.m.)

11 CHAIR DICKISON: Good afternoon, I want to 12 call the Applicant Review Panel meeting back to 13 order. I want to confirm Mr. Coe is on the line.

14 PANEL MEMBER COE: I am, indeed, Madam 15

Chair.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

16 CHAIR DICKISON: And Mr. Belnap is in the 17 room?

18 VICE CHAIR BELNAP: Yes, I'm here.

19 CHAIR DICKISON: Thank you.

20 I'd like to welcome Ms. Caroline Farrell. Did I 21 say that correctly?

22 MS. FARRELL: Yes.

23 CHAIR DICKISON: Okay. Welcome for your 24 interview. And we're going to jump right in to the 25 five standard questions, so I'm going to turn the