58

```
1
   Since I got one of the last slots, you know, I had
2
   -- there was time for me to watch other interviews.
3
   And all of the people that I was able to watch, not
4
   all of them but the ones I saw, so, so smart, so
5
   interesting, and I would love to work with them.
6
            And I thank all of you on the Panel, and
7
   Mr. Dawson, for your service to our state and
   listening to weeks and weeks of interviews. And
8
9
   I've enjoyed it. Thank you very much.
10
            CHAIR COE: Okay.
                               Thank you, Ms. Ahlers.
11
   Thank you for taking the time to speak with us this
12
   morning.
13
            Our next interview is scheduled for 10:45
14
   a.m., so we will be in recess until 10:44.
15
   (Thereupon the Panel recessed 10:22 a.m.)
16
   (Whereupon the Panel reconvened at 10:44 a.m.)
17
            CHAIR COE: Okay, the time being 10:44
18
   a.m., I'd like to call this meeting back to order.
19
            At this time, I'd like to welcome Russell
20
   Yee for his interview this morning.
21
            Dr. Yee, can you hear us okay?
22
            DR. YEE: I can. Thank you.
23
            CHAIR COE:
                        Great.
                                Thank you.
24
   and thank you for being here this morning.
25
            I'd like to turn over to Mr. Dawson for
```

the five standard questions please.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Dr. Yee, I am going to ask you five standard questions that the Panel has requested each applicant respond to. Are you ready, sir?

DR. YEE: Yes, I am.

MR. DAWSON:

First question: What skills and attributes should all Commissioners possess? What skills or competencies should the Commission possess collectively? Of the skills, attributes, and competencies that each Commissioner should possess, which do you possess? In summary, how will you contribute to the success of the Commission?

DR. YEE: Good morning everyone. And thank you for persevering under this difficult circumstances.

I think the 2020 Commission will definitely need the qualities that the Panel has focused on its materials. Those are impartiality, analytical ability, and an appreciation for California's diversity.

As I've researched the work of the 2010 Commission and have been so impressed by their success and what they were able to achieve,

certainly, they used excellent teamwork. I was very impressed by how they used rotating Chairs and so forth. They had good use of technical skills, not necessarily the Commission itself but the consultants and contractors and people they used, and the mappers. They made a good use of those resources and were able to interpret it to use their results.

But it seems to me what was most important to the success of the 2010 Commission and what will be most important to the success of the 2020 Commission is perseverance, perseverance to the goal. The 2010 Commission really faced significant obstacles and challenges at just about every step of its work and they persevered, and especially at the end when the timeline became seemingly impossible, they burned the midnight oil and were able to deliver the four maps in time, and those maps have stood the test of time.

So when it comes to my own possible contributions to the 2020 Commission, I'd like to mention five.

The first one that I can mention is contributing to that perseverance that will be needed, perseverance to the goal.

And if I'm selected, I can promise that I will give the Commission, the 2020 Commission, my 100 percent full-time attention. I'll be able to put my teaching work aside for the full year that the main work will be done. And I intend to attend 100 percent of the Commission meetings. I believe the 2010 Commission had about 34 public input meetings and about 70 business meetings then. I will be prepared, ready, willing, and able to attend 100 percent of those. One of the 2010 Commissioners described the work as "all consuming, all consuming," which sounds very daunting but I'm ready for that.

I've been in any number of meetings over the years. And I've decided that meetings are physical work. They're not aerobic, unfortunately, but they are physical effort. And this Commission will certainly have its share of meetings and I'm up to that.

As it happens, I was actually scheduled to run the Boston Marathon in four days, which has now been postponed. But marathon running is a big part of my life. It's taught me a lot about perseverance, mental and physical perseverance.

And I certainly intend to apply those lessons to

the work of this Commission if I'm chosen.

In all my years of education and work,

I've learned that while skills and talents are

important, nothing can substitute for perseverance.

And so that's the first quality, I think, the

Commission will need.

The second quality is impartiality. And I think I'll be saying about that as I respond to the second question but I'll mention here that aspiring to this Commission is by far the most political thing I've ever done and -- other than voting. And so this -- I find that I was motivated by this opportunity, precisely because it's nonpartisan, precisely because it's fundamentally, you know, emphatically nonpartisan.

And by being on this Commission, I would have an opportunity to be on everyone's side. I'd be on everyone's side. And the nonpartisan nature of this Commission is like its superpower. And I'm really attracted by that and really drawn to that, so impartiality.

The third quality I can bring to the Commission is analytical ability and just my scholarly skills and instincts and research and analysis and presentation and summary. The 2010

Commission had to do a lot of learning and, you know, learning about the Voting Rights Act and so forth. And I am certainly ready and interested and motivated to do that. I love gathering evidence and making lists and writing down pros and cons and writing reports even. All of that really motivates me. I'm always looking for the best way to say things clearly and find the best ways to express things.

I've discovered on the U.S. Census website, there's an online academy. And I've been studying, some of my shelter-in-place time, working through the modules there on the U.S. Census Online Academy and learning about how to use their system.

I also bring to the analytical ability, I think I can also bring historical perspective. So I'm a History Docent at the Oakland Museum of California. And I think a lot of the Commission's work, especially around communities of interest, will involve trying to understand those communities, including, you know, how they got the way they are and why they are the way they are, and that will involve some historical perspective. And I think I can bring that aspect of analytical ability to the Commission's work. So that's

analytical ability.

The fourth thing I think I can bring is an appreciation for California's diversity. I'll be responding to more about that, I think, in a later question. But I can just mention here that I've spent my whole life here in Oakland. And, you know, it's -- Oakland has not had a majority race since 1980. And so I've spent most of my life in a very multi-cultural, multi-racial setting. And I went to all public schools. And I continue to circulate in racially and economically mixed settings. And so, for me, diversity is a given.

And I think the work of the Commission, you know, part of that will be going to places that actually aren't very diverse and that's part of California's diversity too. So that will be a little more of a stretch for me but that's part of California as well.

Lastly, I think I can bring my love for California. I love our state. And I love that five generations of my family have been here in California and three of them, three of those generations, are native-born Californians.

I love being a History Docent at the Oakland Museum of California. Here's my docent

badge. And I love telling California's story over
and over. I never get tired of telling
California's story to our visitors and quests.

I'm really proud that we Californians have proven that nonpartisan citizen redistricting can work. And we now offer that as a model to other states, even though, you know, we're the most populous and most complex state in many ways and, yet, we showed that it can work.

Last month, I served as an election poll worker in the primary, the March primary election. And I was so proud of how California really bends over backwards to help people vote. And, you know, we allow for same-day registration. We allow for permanent mail-in ballots, and so on and so forth. And I was very proud of our state and how we approached that.

And so with all of that, and with redistricting, you know, nonpartisan citizen redistricting, I want to help California lead the nation in advancing voting rights and political equality through nonpartisan redistricting.

And if I'm chosen for this Commission, I very much think of it as a ten-year commitment, not just a one-year commitment. And I very much would

look forward to, even after the mapping is done, look forward to representing the Commission and sharing its work for the rest of the decade.

So, in summary, I believe I can contribute to the Commission's success with perseverance, impartiality, analytical ability, and appreciation for California's diversity, and my love for California.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you.

Question two: Work on the Commission requires members of different political backgrounds to work together. Since the 2010 Commission was selected and formed, the American political conversation has become increasingly polarized, whether in the press, on social media, and even in our own families.

What characteristics do you possess and what characteristics should your fellow

Commissioners possess that will protect against hyper-partisanship? What will you do to ensure that the work of the Commission is not seen as polarized or hyper-partisan and avoid perceptions of political bias and conflict?

DR. YEE: Thank you, Mr. Dawson.

Yes, so I'll start off by saying, I have

been very personally directly affected by this hyper-partisanship and all these political debates. And I know that they're a huge challenge and that there are no easy answers.

One of the areas where I've been affected is at my church. And it's a church that happens to attract people from a wide range of backgrounds, political persuasions, and temperaments. And so at that church, I was the church board chairperson, the board chairperson, from 2015 to 2019. And so that was during the years of the 2016 election and all the drama and rancor over that, Black Lives Matter protests, further protests around immigration and what was happening on our borders, our own Oakland debates over homelessness and housing and so forth, so a lot of drama in our church and a lot of strong feelings, a lot of division.

And so as church board chairperson, my challenge and my goal was to promote what I called a diverse unity. I didn't think we all had to agree but we all had to, as I put it, stay uncomfortable together. That was my goal and my conviction.

At one point, I was called upon to write an open letter to the church and I did. And in

that letter, I included a picture of a mobile, which I brought a copy of it. So here's one of the Alexander Calder mobiles in the National Gallery of Art. And the point I made with that illustration was that we're all like pieces on the mobile that have to stay balanced. And we all need each other. And no matter how strong our feelings, one side or the other, only by being together, being willing to be uncomfortable together, could we grow together. And to this day, some years later, some people still will refer to the mobile letter at our church.

So I feel very deeply and personally that need for balance. I think I've earned a reputation as being fair minded and even tempered and inclusive.

When it comes to the Commission itself, here's -- I think I could think of five ways that it can promote that kind of balance and avoid hyper-partisanship and perceptions of bias conflict.

The first way, I think, certainly to speak and act in a consistently nonpartisan fashion. I think about my experience last month as a poll worker. And, of course, that was a primary

election, so there were different ballots depending on one's party. And as a poll worker, you greet a voter, and you have to find out which ballot they want and you get that ballot for them. And my goal, as I did that, was that no voter should be able to tell, you know, my own party or leanings. You know, what I said, even very subtle tones of voice, should not give anybody any indication what party I was registered as.

And that would be my goal for the Commission. In its public hearings and public meetings, we should not give the public -- it should be pretty hard, maybe even impossible, for anyone just listening to our discussions to guess what our political affiliations are. That would be my goal. We should all come across as representing all of California in doing nonpartisan work. So that would be my first thought for the Commission.

My second thought is to follow open meeting laws by the letter and by the spirit. I guess that's the Bagley-Keene Act. And I know that can be frustrating.

I remember serving on a jury some years ago. It was a nine-week trial and we were instructed not to discuss the evidence at all, you

know, for nine weeks, even among ourselves, which was really hard. But we did that, and there were good reasons for that, and I kept to those rules.

As well, I think we'd need to be very disciplined in all social media. Of course, not post anything about the Commission's work, but also, and especially because this is an election year, to not post anything that will come across as partisan because somebody will find out and find out that we're on the Commission and that would reflect poorly on the Commission, so we need self-discipline about that. So that's my second thought.

Third thought, as we schedule public meetings, public input meetings, of course, we'll need to be clearly representative of the state as far as we can, we can't go everywhere, but to have a clear balance in different regions and different, you know, rural, suburban, urban, different communities and interests and so forth.

Fourth thing, I think, in meetings, to do a lot of excellent listening. You know, we're there to listen. We're there to understand. We're not there to debate, not there to air our own opinions, and so to listen. To always assume

positive intent from those who are presenting. To really look for the values behind what they're saying and try to appreciate those values and go with that kind of attitude.

Lastly, I think even physical settings convey a sense of partiality or impartiality. I think one of the suggestions from the 2020 Commission was to have mixed -- to mix the seating of the Commissioners at each meeting so there's no patterns, you know? It's not like congress, you know, with an aisle in between this side and that side and all that, but to mix the seating.

And also, in terms of physical settings, I find I pay a lot of attention to things like lighting and sound and, you know, projected material and so forth. And I think as we go to different parts of the state, you know, to really treat each meeting -- to do our very best job at each meeting of a conveying the setting is, you know, worth our full effort, that we're doing a good job, even in the hosting of the meeting and the physical setting of the meeting, and show that we are treating all the different settings equally.

So those are some of the ways I think the Commission can avoid hyper-partisanship and

perceptions of bias and conflict.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you.

MS. PELLMAN: We have --

MR. DAWSON: Madam Secretary --

MS. PELLMAN: Yes. We have 13 minutes, 35 seconds remaining.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you.

Question three: What is the greatest problem the Commission could encounter and what actions would you take to avoid or respond to this problem?

DR. YEE: Well, suddenly, you know, COVID19 is probably going to be a huge issue for this
Commission. And, you know, we're going to have to
do a lot more video meetings. And I think the
outreach to get public input, we'll very probably
have to rely a lot more on online input, so that's
going to be a new challenge for this Commission.

There's also just the tightness of the deadlines that the 2010 Commission faced. And their advice to this Commission was to really start early, you know, do the hiring of staff early, get consultants on early, do the Voting Rights Act training early, and so forth.

I did notice this week, just on Monday,

there is a possibility that the census data for redistricting will be delayed. The Secretary of Commerce has asked for a 120-day delay. And so that would put the data release to the end of July. And the maps are currently due in August. So, you know, I don't know if the legislature will have to do something about that but we'll certainly have to keep track of that.

I think there's also a question of funding. Will funding be adequate? I think the 2010 Commission enjoyed a large grant from the James Irvine Foundation and that was almost a quarter of its budget. So I don't know if that grant is going to be available this time. And with the pandemic, as well, how state tax revenues are affecting the funding of this Commission. So those are all issues that we'll have to face.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you.

Question four: If you are selected you will be one of 14 members of the Commission which is charged with working together to create maps of the new districts.

Please describe a situation where you had to work collaboratively with others on a project to achieve a common goal. Tell us the goal of the

project, what your role in the group was, and how the group worked through any conflicts that arose? What lessons would you take from this group experience to the Commission, if selected?

DR. YEE: So I'd like to tell you about my experience at one of my former churches, not the one I'm at currently but a former church, where I was the pastor. And that church was a startup. And early in its life, we decided we wanted to affiliate with a larger church body, a denomination. And it would be sort of like if you had a community service organization that had been going for a while and decided it really wanted to affiliate with a larger body. And so we looked into Kiwanis and Rotary and Lions Club and so forth to, you know, try to decide on larger organizations to become a part of. So that's the position we were in.

It was a big challenge because the members of the church had come from different backgrounds, so some Presbyterians, some Baptists, and so forth and they had strong feelings about that. So there was not guarantee at the beginning of the process that we were going to be able to agree on anything. And even if we did agree, it was not -- I was

pretty sure we would lose at least some people because of just the nature of the decision.

So we took a full year to work through the process. We had a lot of meetings, a lot of discussions, did a lot of research into our options. Went through kind of predictable stages of a community process where people test the waters, they say things, they find out we don't all agree. At some point you feel stuck and you have persevere through that.

And in the end, we were able to do a lot of learning together, a lot of growing together, and at the end we took a vote of the whole membership and we did make a decision. It was actually not the choice that I had originally supported, so my own mind had been changed by the process. And most amazingly to me, we actually didn't lose anyone. So that was just quite an inspiring experience.

So some lessons for the Commission, I think you have to not fear differences of opinion. And you have to be willing to sit with them and work with them and persevere through them.

I think people really notice how you treat others. And so in a community input meeting, let's

say, people really notice if your tone of voice, whether you're giving people plenty of time to share, things like that, I think the Commission would need to, you know, really surprise people with kindness and openness and patience and generosity and the ability to listen, approachability, you know?

I learned that people's minds can change.

My mind changed. And that people's first answer is not necessarily the last answer. I learned that people's values behind their choices, you know, are actually what are driving their choices. And sometimes if you can get those values, understand them, and be creative about ways to honor those values, that may involve different choices, and that counts for a lot.

I also learned that feeling heard and understood is more important than winning. So even if some people had voted no to our decision at the church, I think that would have been okay as long as they felt heard and understood. That's more important than everyone getting their way. And so I think on the Commission, that would certainly be the case as well.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you.

Question five: A considerable amount of the Commission's work will involve meeting with people from all over California who come from very different backgrounds and a wide variety of perspectives.

If you were selected as a Commissioner, what skills and attributes will make you effective at interacting with people from different backgrounds and who have a variety of perspectives? What experiences have you had that will help you be effective at understanding and appreciating people and communities of different backgrounds and who have a variety of perspectives?

DR. YEE: So as I mentioned earlier, as an Oakland native, I guess diversity is a norm for me. And, you know, everywhere I go in the course of the day, normally, you know, not right now, I'm encountering people of different races, languages, cultures, and so on and so forth.

My teaching and writing have included quite a bit of attention to matters of culture and cultural understanding, cultural expression, cultural identify. I have especially focused on Asian American identity and culture, as well as Southeast Asian American identity and culture. You

know, the fact that this week is actually Cambodian New Year this week is part of the landscape of my life.

As an Asian American, I have a particular awareness of immigrant stories and generational differences and changes, immigrant populations.

You know, California is one-quarter foreign-born today and, you know, the highest in the nation, the highest percentage. And so that world of people from elsewhere and their kids and grandkids, that's a normal part of life for me. That's familiar territory for me.

As a racial minority, I have an awareness of settings and, you know, majority versus minority cultures, and stepping into a setting who, you know, treats that setting as belonging to them versus those who feel like outsiders, those you have to invite in versus those who come in assuming that they're included, and so forth.

I can mention that my postgraduate degrees came from two very different institutions, master's degree from Dallas Theological Seminary and a doctoral degree from the Graduate Theological Union in Berkeley. And together, those two would cover an extremely wide range of religious and cultural

and political spectrum constituencies in Christian circles, you know, far right to far left. And I've circulated in those circles, that whole range, and have connections in that whole range. So that's part of my background.

Being at my current church definitely puts me outside my comfort zone and there's a range of political and, you know, temperaments there, political opinions, and temperaments there, socioeconomic range. I'm actually one of the older members there now, so the generational differences and so forth.

I have traveled quite a bit throughout California my whole life. My honeymoon, 33 years ago, was actually a road trip in California. One of my daughters just finished up her college degree in Southern Cal, so I've spent a lot of time down there, as well as doing some teaching in Southern Cal myself, and other work there.

I can also mention, as a runner, you know, everywhere I go, I like to run. My wife and I were just in Hollister earlier this year and did some running there. And when you run, you see things up close that you don't see, you know, when you're driving through a place. And certainly, if we have

or were able to have onsite meetings with this

Commission and public input meetings, any overnight

meetings, overnight travel I'll do to any of those

meetings, I certainly look forward to running in

those places and seeing those communities up close,

you know, not only for the pleasure of it, but also

as part of my research to those communities.

Some other things specifically for the Commission, I think it counts a lot when you go to a place to show active interest in that place. Do your homework. Find out the background of the place. If it's a small town, find out, you know, the mascot of the high school there and things like that. And find out what the current issues are and come prepared.

Coming into a community, know the community organizations that are there, you know, connect with the leaders of those organizations before you get there and get their endorsement and participation, if possible.

Then just do a lot of outreach to those communities. Invite. Invite again. Invite five, ten different ways, you know? And because everyone's different and people circulate in different circles. They take in information in

different ways and make different assumptions. So the Commission will definitely need to do that.

And so try to reach as many different communities of interest and different people and perspectives as possible.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you.

We'll now go to Panel questions. Each

Panel member will have 20 minutes to ask his or her

question. We'll start with the Chair.

Mr. Coe?

CHAIR COE: Thank you, Mr. Dawson.

Once again, good morning to you, Dr. Yee.

Thank you for taking the time to speak with us today.

I wanted to ask you about your role as a History Docent at the Oakland Museum of California. You've talked about it a couple of times this morning. I wonder if you give us a little bit more information about your duties in this role?

DR. YEE: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Coe.

So we do -- the main duty is leading tours. And the bread and butter is school group tours, fourth grade tours, and I love it. Fourth grade is a great age. Kids are curious, you know? They can absorb quite a bit but they're still --

they're not teenagers yet, you know?

So -- and so we -- the Oakland Museum of

California, so there's two museums dedicated to

California. As a state, one is the California

Museum in Sacramento. And the other is the Oakland

Museum of California. So we have three galleries

at our museum, Art of California, The Natural

Science of California, and then The History of

California.

And we lead these tours. And, you know, we get school groups from all over the Greater Bay Area, all different towns and school districts, you know, all different socioeconomics. But I love, most of all, the groups that include kids from immigrant families. And you can have a group, a tour group of, you know, seven kids who are from seven different, you know, whose families are from seven different countries. And it's just spectacular and amazing and beautiful and I love that.

And some of these kids, it's their very first experience of any museum, you know, anywhere.

And I get to be -- I have the honor, you know, of introducing them to that experience.

At the Oakland Museum, one of our tag

lines is, "The Museum of You," which is a little cheesy. But its -- you know, the idea is that every Californian is part of the California story. And that's part of what we try to convey to the school kids, is this is their story. This is not somebody else's story. This is their story. And all the different parts of it, the good parts, the not-so-good parts, that's all part of their story. And it's an unfolding story they get to continue helping to tell.

So those are some of our duties.

CHAIR COE: Now what's your favorite subject to speak in public about in your role?

DR. YEE: Favorite subject? Certainly,
Native American backgrounds and present Native
American life which, of course, is a very mixed
story and a very heartbreaking story in a lot of
ways. But our museum has done a particularly good
job of that, portraying that.

The very first thing you see as you enter the History Gallery is a display of an Ohlone tule reed boat. And the caption over the boat is, and the caption for the first section of the History Gallery is, "Before the other people came. Before the other people came," and, you know, and of

course, you know, starting off with the Native

American story, so I like to start off there. We

have a video there of some local Ohlone Native

Americans welcoming guests to the gallery,

welcoming them to the displays, and so I love to

start there.

Of course, we do a lot with the Gold Rush and try to explain that to kids and the complexity of it and just the drama of it and how it changed California.

Other parts of our history, I love taking them up to the present and showing them how it connects with the past and how even gold is still very much a part of our life here in California, and the high-tech industry and how it's used in industrial processes.

I also like just impressing on them that people keep coming to California, right, and with aspirations, with dreams, hopes of a better life for themselves and their families, and that we're all connected in those ways.

CHAIR COE: Thank you for sharing that perspective.

I want to talk about your impartiality essay for a moment.

DR. YEE: Um-hmm.

CHAIR COE: In that essay, you write that you have had "endless opportunities to practice listening to different sides, speaking your own convictions, and coming to decisions, even with imperfect and incomplete data." And as we've talked about a delay in census or, maybe, some issues with census data as a result of the pandemic, making decisions with imperfect and incomplete data seems to be a pertinent topic. But my question to you is kind of a double question.

And I'm wondering if you can give us an example of a time where you had to set aside your personal beliefs or your preferences in order to make a difficult decision with imperfect of incomplete data? And the second part to that question is as part of the decision making, for that example that you're going to give us, what process did you employ to ensure that you made the best decisions possible with the data that's available?

DR. YEE: Sure. Thank you. Wow.

For sure, the example I gave of the denominational decision at my former church. That was an example of making a decision, you know? And

we took a whole year. We got lots of data. But, of course, there's always more to get and, at some point, you just have to make a decision, and so we did that.

Another example, a little more recent, might be so at my current church, a decision we faced came when -- so we -- as it happens, at our church site, we're a block away from a halfway house. It's a facility where folks who are newly on parole can spend some time living as they get reentry experience into jobs and so forth. And at one point a few years ago, some of the men at the reentry facility starting coming to our church. And so these are paroled lifers, folks who had had life sentences but had earned parole. And so they were now out and coming to our church.

And we had to admit that we had no experience with this in the past at the church. And so we had to make a decision how to respond to their presence and how to, you know, how to include them, and whether or not to invite them into small fellowship groups that we had the church, and so forth? It was right around the time we had our church retreat, going off to a spot in the redwoods and spending a weekend together, you know, and

would we make that special effort to include these parolees?

And, you know, we did research, talked to people, but we had to make a pretty quick decision, you know, just because of the timeline. And so, partly, what informed our decision was just face-to-face discussions. And so we let -- we had -- you know, we met with some of these men and had them educate us about their lives and the difference between short-timers and lifers and what it takes to earn parole, and all the supervision that their lives were under currently, and so forth. That was all news to us.

And as we weighed that information, you know, in the time we had we didn't find out everything we wanted to or needed to but we made the call in the end, okay, we will embrace this group. We will treat them like everyone else. We will not have any special rules for them, you know? And in the end, you know, and this included hosting some of these men in my own home and for regular meetings, and we made that decision and it's actually worked out well. So that's an example.

I don't know, maybe that will do for now. CHAIR COE: Okay. Thank you.

I want to talk about something you mentioned in your appreciation for diversity essay that you sent to us. In that, you discuss your seminary teachings and how, in those teachings, you challenge assumptions some students have about the normativity of the majority culture and/or being the uniformity of any given minority culture.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I'm curious, how do you challenge your students to think about this and what, generally, are the results?

DR. YEE: Excellent question. know, a lot of it has to do with people who -students who think of the majority culture as just the norm. And it's kind of -- you know, as I put it, you know, it's the way we use the term ethnic often. Ethnic churches, for instance, the term is often used in church circles. And, basically, that's a reference to racial minority churches. Those are ethnic churches. But the implication is that a majority culture church doesn't have an ethnicity which, of course, is not true. All churches have particular cultures, they have particular sensibilities about time and so forth. So one way I try to bring up the subject is by pointing out all the things that a majority culture might do that are, you know, culturally specific and not just universal.

So, for instance, how do generations interact? In the majority culture, and especially here in the Bay Area, a lot of it from kind of the influence of Silicon Valley. You know, we have a very non-hierarchical culture --

CHAIR COE: Um-hmm.

DR. YEE: -- people on a first-name basis. It would not be unusual for, you know, young people to call adults by their first name and so forth. Whereas, in other cultures, of course, you would use a title. You would never call a grandparent, you know, or a parent by a first name and so on. So, you know, just pointing out some of those differences in sensibilities and how specific they are and trying to open people's eyes to how, you know, how particular different aspects of the majority culture are.

And so it's generally well received. As people come to understand, you know, people who are in, you know, a ministry career aspirations, you know, they want to understand people and they want to reach out and learn. And so it's generally well received that way but, certainly, still some

resistance or a sense that, you know, the majority culture is somehow, you know, privileged or better, you know, or special in a way that should, you know, I don't know, keep it in some kind of privileged position, so just challenging those assumptions.

At the same time, you know, not to make all cultures relative, you know, I think American culture has particular qualities that make it -- you know, our commitment to equality and free speech and liberty, I mean, those are particular things and special things.

So I think, for instance, you know, in community input meetings, as we reach out to communities that may not be used to going to community meetings and speaking up in public, you know, part of being American and being in a mixture of cultures is teaching each other, challenging each other how to grow into that, you know, that bi-culturality and so, you know, to encourage a community member that has never spoken up at a public meeting before to do so, you know, and explain why it's a good idea and explain how it's safe, and so on and so forth. So it goes both ways.

CHAIR COE: Thank you.

From your experiences in that role or in any other role where you've worked with diverse groups of people with a variety of backgrounds, what have you learned about the needs and desires and preferences of the diverse groups of people that you've met that you think would make you an effective representative for the diverse population of California on this Commission?

DR. YEE: Sure. I think the first thing I would bring in that regard is just a sensibility and a sensitivity to who thinks they belong and who doesn't, you know, who would think of a meeting, an official meeting, as somewhere they, you know, they have a right to speak up and they're going to speak up there versus someone who has to be specially invited to come or to comment, you know, someone who would think of that as somebody else's business.

I think of some of the Southeast Asian

American people I've worked with, and especially
those who came out of wartime situations, you know,
in Southeast Asia and how some of the really
negative experiences they've had with officials and
governments in settings where they came from, you

know, predisposed them to not, you know, to not want to speak up, you know, not want to share their name, not want to comment publicly. And so being sensitive to things like that.

I think generationally, too, being at my church, being one of the older members there, I keep having to challenge myself to understand how the world looks different for different generations. And so, for myself, I think growing up, you know, as the tail end of the baby boom, you know, I grew up trusting institutions, you know, trusting officials, trusting the police, you know? And the younger generation has grown up in a very different world.

And so I think in reaching out, especially to the younger generation, and understanding that they may start with an assumption of distrust, you know, and so I would have -- you know, our job would be to go in and earn their trust, by understanding, trying to understand their particular issues and understand their doubts and questions, and to go in understanding that, you know, they're not -- they don't come in predisposed to just believe in the system and believe in the work that we're doing.

So those are some of the things I would do.

CHAIR COE: Thank you very much.

Similar question but in regards to geographic diversity, and people in different regions of the state may have different concerns depending on where they live, the things that are facing them and the challenges.

I know you said you were born in the Bay Area and still live in the Bay Area. So I'm curious to hear about your experiences in other regions of the state and what you've learned from the people in those regions about their perspectives and preferences that you think would make you an effective representative for them on this Commission?

DR. YEE: Sure. Yeah, so I am -- you know, my parents were born in Oakland, I was born in Oakland, so a lot of deep roots here. But, as I mentioned, I have spent quite a bit of time in Southern California with relatives down there. I've done some teaching down there. I've been part of a nonprofit down there, based down there, and so quite a bit of time in Southern Cal.

I also have visited, you know, almost the

whole state. I haven't been to the far northeast.

And somehow, I haven't made it to Death Valley, but through of the rest of the state, I've traveled and enjoyed. You know, I just enjoy so much traveling all throughout our state, our beautiful state.

Certainly the urban versus suburban versus rural differences are very clear, small towns, you know, versus big cities, the Central Valley, all the agriculture there, as well as the big cities there, you know? Fresno is bigger than Oakland. And the issues of concern there, immigration patterns, you know?

Different majority-minority communities all of state, all our various issues.

Homelessness, of course, in the big cities, in parts of the big cities. And yet, you know, here in Oakland, we're right over the hill from some of the suburbs in Contra Costa County and all the issues around housing density, housing development, just heavy debates going on over things like that.

Our traditional debates over water, you know, continue to go on.

I think, too, of course, every place, every community has its own particular identity and pride and not wanting to lump, you know, all of

Southern California with L.A., of course, you know, the Inland Empire, the whole Riverside area, which is one of the fastest growing areas in California, and every distinct community has it's own stories on history, the things they're proud of, issues they're working through are things to appreciate.

So, yeah, just wanting to learn more about that. Really looking forward, if I'm selected, to spending time all over the state and learning more about those --

MS. PELLMAN: We have two minutes remaining.

DR. YEE: -- those parts of the state.

CHAIR COE: Okay. Thank you, Madam Secretary.

And thank you, Dr. Yee. I think in the interest of time, I'll ask one more question really quickly.

If you were to be appointed to the role of Commissioner, which aspects of that role do you think that you will enjoy the most and, conversely, which aspects of that role do you think might cause you to struggle a little bit?

DR. YEE: Sure. This is a little abstract but I think what I would enjoy the most, actually,

and truthfully, is being part of something that's such a great idea. I just love the idea of the Commission and, you know, the risky proposition that it was, you know, back in 2008 and the fact that it's been done once now so well and is a model for the nation. I just love that, so I would really enjoy that.

Also, I'd really enjoy just learning about our state more and more stories about life all over our state.

Something challenging? I think the open meeting laws, you know, I respect them, I understand them, the need for them. And I certainly would intend to keep to them, the letter, and the spirit. But I know that they can be frustrating, as well, to have discussions.

I remember being on a jury some years ago where we couldn't discuss -- I think I mentioned this -- we couldn't discuss the case, you know, for nine weeks and it was just really difficult. Here it's a different situation. But having to have all discussions in open, not being able to have private conversations that might offer themselves, I think, will be frustrating.

I'd also find it very frustrating if there

were any disunity on the Commission. Anyone who wasn't, you know, a team player, any, you know, division that way, that would be very frustrating for me as well.

CHAIR COE: Okay. Thank you, Dr. Yee.

I think we're about out of time, so I will go ahead and turn the time over to Ms. Dickison for her questions.

Ms. Dickison?

DR. YEE: Thank you, Mr. Coe.

PANEL MEMBER DICKISON: Thank you, Mr.

12 Coe.

Good morning, Dr. Yee.

DR. YEE: Good morning.

PANEL MEMBER DICKISON: So you just talked about one of the things that would frustrate you was if there was disunity among the Commissioners.

What are some of the things the Commissioners can do early on to build a team and an atmosphere of collaboration?

DR. YEE: Right. So it's a little tricky because, of course, you have the eight that will be initially selected by lottery. I think the former Commission called those the luckies. And those luckies will pick six more, which will be the

chosen, as they called them. And so right from the start you'll have a division that will need to be overcome. And I think one of the things that we'll need to do is make those selections of the final six as early as possible, as early as, you know, can be done well, and so not have any -- have a minimum of experiences that only the eight will have that the six will not have and really try to have the whole Commission, all 14 experience, you know, the formative early going of the Commission.

So I think one of the issues that the former Commission experienced was some of the Voting Rights Act training that happened before the final six were selected. You know, in retrospect, that was not ideal. So to do that.

I think, certainly, to socialize, you know, even outside of the official meetings. You know, just who you chat with, who you sit down for a meal with, and to really mix that up. And maybe make a very intentional, you know, minimize project of that as a Commission. I think, you know, when personal relationships can be cultivated, it adds a lot to, you know, motivation and willingness to do something, not only for the sake of the Commission and the sake of our duties, but for the sake of the

personal relationships that can develop on the Commission and the personal, you know, loyalties to the whole Commission, all the Commissioners, that can develop.

So those are the things, some of the things, I think would be included early on.

PANEL MEMBER DICKISON: Thank you.

So I wanted to touch on your diversity essay. You talked about you teach a class about Oakland.

DR. YEE: Yes.

PANEL MEMBER DICKISON: And you said you "delight in replacing simplistic ideas about Oakland with a wide appreciation for Oakland's vast diversity."

What motivates you to educate about that and that changing that perspective?

DR. YEE: Sure. I love teaching that class. Actually, behind me, you see the plaque, Oakland, and its iconic oak tree. That's actually -- it was a student project. A student made that for me, and I love it, from that class.

What motivates me? I guess at two levels.

One is just personally, you know, I love
my city, the same way I love California. And I

want it to be understood. I want it to -- I want to correct incorrect ideas about it, you know? I want to -- I want people to be able to learn from it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I want people to visit it. You know, the college where I teach that class is St. Mary's College of California, which is in Moraga, you know, right over the hill, right through the Caldecott Tunnel from Oakland. And so my feeling is that these students are going to spend four years there at St. Mary's College and they should get to know the largest city they will spend those four years next to and, you know, so that they'll be invited, feel that, you know, as part of their college experience, spend time in Oakland and learn from Oakland and, you know, get a better education because of that time in and next to Oakland. then I hope it will expand their imagination as they think about their futures and their opportunities.

And the motto of St. Mary's is, "Come to learn, go to serve," you know? And so, of course, Oakland, like all big cities, has an especially wide range of opportunities to serve, you know, if you think about nonprofit careers, you think about

volunteer opportunities that these students may pursue. And so that motivates me a lot.

But a lot of it is just because I love stories, and I love diversity, and I love history, and I love teaching about those. In my class evaluations, one of the feedback items I frequently get is simply that the professor is very enthusiastic. It makes coming to class more motivating. And that's a very genuine enthusiasm and so I love to share that and it motivates me to teach the class.

PANEL MEMBER DICKISON: Thank you.

You've talked, also, about being a runner. What have you learned from running outside of Oakland in visiting in those communities that will assist the Commission in reaching out to communities in the various regions of California?

DR. YEE: Right. So I think, you know, when you run you just have a very granular sense of a place because you see it, you know, right up close. You know, you're not just whizzing by in a car. And so you see houses and front yards and people's, you know, cars and what's on their front porches. And you see, also, the condition of public works. And you get very intimately

acquainted with the condition of the roads, sidewalks. You can tell, you know, instantly whether a setting is pedestrian friendly.

You see places in town that are thriving.

You see places in town that will be fading away.

You read signs. You read plaques. I love finding history plaques and reading them, you know,

learning the story of places.

You also get an intimate sense of geography and boundaries, you know? And you can really feel viscerally how it feels like to cross a freeway, you know, to cross a train track, and how the neighborhood changes.

You also notice a lot of landmarks, you know, the schools, the churches, city hall, businesses, you know, businesses that are thriving, businesses that are not, and so forth.

And so I think that sensitivity, that sensibility, that perceptiveness about a community that you really can't get just from numbers, or from reading a report, hopefully can help the Commission in its work.

PANEL MEMBER DICKISON: Thank you.

So in the class you teach about Oakland, you talk about looking or using historical census

figures. And then you also talk about enjoying geography trivia.

So have you done any work or any trivia where you've combined those two, census data and maps or geography?

DR. YEE: I have not combined census data and maps and geography professionally. But certainly in the teaching for the Oakland class, you know, we look at maps. And I show different, you know, patterns of housing. And, you know, it's just, it's a golden age for maps and data and you can -- there are maps available where you can show, you know, the race of every voter in a city and you can, you know, analyze that and discuss that, and so I do that in class.

Other ways? Just out of curiosity, I suppose, just, you know, as kind of a hobbyist level, looking at cities, looking at California, and learning. And then most recently, working through some of those U.S. Census Online Academy modules and learning how to use their system to analyze maps and data, so --

PANEL MEMBER DICKISON: So given your joy of geographic trivia, you also talked about some of the boundary lines --

DR. YEE: Sure.

PANEL MEMBER DICKISON: -- in your essay.

Would a district that was shaped oddly give you concern? Why or why not?

DR. YEE: Indeed. So an oddly shaped district, of course, the question is: What is oddly? And I've read some of the discussions and essays and reports that have tried to, you know, define oddness.

And, you know, I think the Commission will be bound by the six constitutional criteria, range criteria, you know, population, Voting Rights Act, communities of interest, contiguity, and then fifth, you know, which is pretty low down the list, is compactness. So I think the language is that, you know, there should not be pockets of population farther out included to the exclusion of pockets of population that are farther in. So, you know, but that is a consideration, that is a criterion, that will be in tension sometimes with communities of interest; right?

So would it bother me aesthetically? Of course, you know, one can -- you know, there seems to be a human propensity to like tidiness and symmetricalness. On the hand, in terms of the

constitutional redistricting criteria, oddness is not a criteria. So it's just balancing the criterion of compactness with all the other criteria.

So, basically, no, not in and of itself, not oddness in and of itself. Of course, gerrymandering, there's a whole long history of gerrymandering. Oddness isn't part of that, you know, under that, you know, I know it when I see it kind of criterion. And so, you know, there's some of that, but not inherently, no.

PANEL MEMBER DICKISON: Thank you.

You mentioned communities of interest being one of the criterion. That is on the same level with cities, counties, and neighborhoods.

DR. YEE: Yes.

PANEL MEMBER DICKISON: What can the Commission do to ensure that when it's weighing those different things, when they come in conflict with each other, that they're making the right decisions?

DR. YEE: I think that's, you know, that's an excellent question. That's probably going to be the \$64,000 question for the Commission.

25 Communities of interest, I think, of the six

criterion -- criteria, that's probably going to be the most challenging one because it's the most fuzzy one.

So on one hand, you have clear existing boundaries, you know, city, county boundaries. You have natural, you know, features, lakes, rivers, the bay here in the Bay Area, and so forth. But when it comes to some of the other considerations, economic activity, and historic voting patterns, you know, those are going to be difficult, and so it's going to take a lot of research.

How to make the right decision? I don't think, you know, I don't think it's going to be a question of right or wrong so much as a question of better or worse because there's going to be lots of options.

I think I would be guided a lot by -- I mean, the first thing I would do, probably, for any given decision is look at the 2010 Commission's work and look at the discussions they had, look at the considerations that they lined up, weigh those, you know, see if they still hold the same weight, make adjustments where populations have changed, you know, and then try to make a good decision.

I like the phrase, let's see, it was in

the -- so part of the language for the Voting
Rights Act section 2, talks about the "totality of
circumstances," I think it's the jingle's
preconditions, "totality of circumstances," and I
like that phrase. And I think for communities of
interest, that's part of the challenge will be to
try to take in the totality of circumstances and to
make a better decision, a good decision, you know,
not the only right decision because I don't think
there will be an only right one or only right
decision.

PANEL MEMBER DICKISON: Thank you.

What do you see as your role the Commission, should you be selected?

DR. YEE: My role on the Commission, as I mentioned, number one, to show up, you know, and my intention to show up to 100 percent of the Commission meetings, and even to be available if extra, you know, extra trips or extra duties become necessary. I intend to be available for that.

I intend to be an excellent listener. I take notes as I listen and I love to summarize what's been said. I see my role as trying to clearly state, you know, what's been said and try to clearly summarize what's been said, summarize

arguments, point out things that may not have been -- things that might have been missing.

I see my role as trying to steer the Commission back to its goal if we get distracted. You know, the goal is to have these public input meetings, to hear from the public, and then to draw these four maps on time using the six ranked criteria. That's the goal. And there's lots of other interesting things we can be doing, lots of other things to be discussing, but to steer the Commission back to that.

I'd certainly just try to contribute to the Commission's good judgment when it comes to hiring and directing staff and consultants. You know, that's going to be a lot of the work, so to try to add to those discussions, be an asset to those discussions.

And, as well, simply to, you know, to enjoy the experience, to the enjoyment of the experience, and to find ways for the Commission to enjoy each other's work and company and, you know, to make it a positive year for everyone. I want to contribute to that.

PANEL MEMBER DICKISON: Thank you.

You mentioned early on, earlier in our

discussion, that one of the things you would like to see is that the six Commissioners be selected early in the process.

If you were selected as one of the first eight, what would you look for in those six individuals?

DR. YEE: Right. So, you know, right off
I would look for trying to fill any obvious gaps in
the range of Commissioners, so especially for
geography, urban, rural, suburban, men and women,
so, you know, try to fill those gaps, racial
backgrounds, try to fill those gaps.

I would look for, you know, the qualities you've emphasized, impartiality and analytical ability, appreciation for diversity.

I'd look for a team player. Look for someone who's motivated and available to do the work necessary.

Technical skills, of course, those are valuable. You know, I just, I can't get over that the 2010 Commission was fortunate to have Vince Barbera [sic], you know, a former U.S. Census Director, two-time Census Director. I think he was actually picked in the lottery. If he had been available for one of the six, the chosen six, I

think he would have been a very, very attractive candidate to bring that kind of background to the work.

But, certainly, you know, legal background, data background, mapping background, community work backgrounds, to look for how those might add to the Commission.

PANEL MEMBER DICKISON: Thank you.

MS. PELLMAN: We have 2 minutes, 50 seconds remaining.

11 PANEL MEMBER DICKISON: Okay. Thank you.

Mr. Coe, I don't have any further questions at this point.

CHAIR COE: Thank you, Ms. Dickison.

We'll go ahead and turn the time over to Mr. Belnap.

DR. YEE: Thank you, Ms. Dickison.

18 PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: Good morning, Dr.

19 Yee.

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

DR. YEE: Mr. Belnap.

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: I want to start my questions with just going way back to the early '80s.

You studied nutrition. And, well, you graduated with a Bachelor's in Nutrition in Food

Science from UC Berkeley.

Why did you go into that degree and what was your intention in terms of career in that area?

DR. YEE: Wow, way back. Thank you, Mr.

Belnap. It is throwback Thursday, isn't it?

At the time, I didn't have a clear idea at all of a direction professionally. I was among a lot of high school peers who were interested in science professions or medicine, perhaps, careers like that, and so that seemed interesting to me. I had a personal friend who had -- a few years older -- who had done an nutrition program at UC Berkeley. And at the time, it just seemed to me that, you know, no matter what else I did in life, nutrition would be part of my life, you know, part of the world, something worth understanding. And so I went into that, you know, maybe with some premedical thoughts and it was worthwhile. I had a good experience in it.

But I did discover later that even though it was able to do the science, and science is very interesting and I'm glad I did that, I'm especially glad now because, as it happens, my wife is a physician. And so having that science background helps me understand her work and the things that

she does better. But I found, actually, that my interest and my temperament and personality actually was more towards things like writing, and things like history, and things like culture, and narratives, and things like that.

And so I would chalk it all up to youthfulness and some influences in my life and the availability of the program at Berkeley, just kind of the sense that this is something worth knowing about, and that's what motivated me at the time.

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: Okay. Thank you.

I know you graduated with a Master's in Old Testament from the Dallas Theological Seminary.

DR. YEE: Um-hmm.

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: Does that mean -- and the number of years attended was four. Does that mean you lived in Texas for those four years?

DR. YEE: I did. I lived in Dallas, Texas for those four years. And, you know, it's the south, my first time in the south. It's Bible Belt. It's a very different place, you know?

And I remember early in my time there, opening up the Dallas Morning News, and at that time President Reagan was in Office, and there it was, a pro President Reagan editorial in the Dallas

Morning News. You know, I don't think I had ever seen that in the papers around here. So a very different part of the world. I came to love the people there and love the southern hospitality and the sense of family there. I really enjoyed that. But also came to, you know, appreciate California all the more and realized that what we have here is very special, you know, not to be taken for granted, the diversity, the natural beauty.

California goes much farther back, much further back, and it's much more diverse than what I experienced there in Dallas. So, yeah, it was very eye opening, good experience, but also glad to be back here in California.

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: So before entering the seminary, at some point you must have decided, too, that going into being a pastor was where your life was headed. Can you tell us about that decision?

DR. YEE: Sure. Part of that was -- so

I've mentioned before, I'm, you know, I'm drawn to
gaps. You know, what's missing? What needs to be
done that isn't being done.

And part of my decision to go to seminary

in the first place was actually not professional. The church I was at, at the time, the church my family attended and that I grew up in, we had a pastor at the time who encouraged young people there to, you know, as they got their college degrees and, perhaps, graduate degrees, that in that mix that they include some higher education in religion, you know, in matters of faith. And his reasoning was, you know, you're going to get all educated in all these other parts of your life. You should, you know, have some education in this part of your life, as well, you know, no matter what profession you're in.

It's similar to, I think, some of the, you know, centuries ago, the origins of some of the Ivy League colleges, Harvard and so forth, you know, that all had, you know, such training, you know, in religion as part of their curricula for all of their students because to be educated, to be part of a community, you know, that's part of what you should know about.

So that's what initially voted me. It wasn't, initially, a professional decision. But as I progressed in my seminary career, I came to realize that there was a gap in church leadership

1 among Asian Americans. You know, the majority of 2 Asian American churches in America are still 3 pastored by overseas-born, you know, first-4 generation immigrant leaders, even though, for 5 instance, for Chinese, you know, we've been here 6 over 150 years and, yet, the majority of Chinese 7 America pastors are first generation, not America-8 born, pastors. And there's a huge gap because, of 9 course, once the first generation has arrived, 10 every generation after that is American, is born 11 here, so a huge gap.

And I saw that gap and it weighed on me.

And so that's when I decided to help try to fill
that gap and make it not only an experience of
enriching my life but as a professional direction
as well.

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: All right. Thank you.

So after getting a certificate in Anglican Studies, it looks like from your employment history, you became a pastor at New Life Christian Fellowship for ten years.

DR. YEE: That's right.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: So -- and you were 25 also later a pastor at another church. So that's

leads to my next question.

How has your experience as a pastor increased your understanding and appreciation for California's diversity?

DR. YEE: Yes. So, you know, as a pastor, you circulate in church circles and you talk to people about their spiritual lives and commitments and community involvement in their churches, and so that whole world. And as I mentioned before, for me, it's a wide world, more conservation, more liberal, different, you know, different churches all over. It helps me to understand those parts of people's live.

You know, when I look out at Oakland,
Oakland has a very diverse religious landscape, you
know? I love being -- where I live is, actually,
right down the hill from our -- there's a Greek
Orthodox -- a large Greek Orthodox Church right
next to a Latter Day Saints temple, right down the
street from a newer Ethiopian Orthodox Church. You
know, we have all our historically Black churches
in Oakland, main line churches, Evangelical
churches, immigrant churches. And, you know, that
whole world is familiar to me and, you know, I
circulate in those circles. And I understand

people and their attachments and commitments to those settings. And, of course, other religions as well. So in Oakland, you know, we have somewhat newer Buddhist temples and some mosques and so forth.

So I think just understanding that part of people's lives, certainly the more conservative parts of the state, Central Valley and so forth, the large churches and the roles that they play in their communities, their communities of interest, is familiar territory to me and something that I think, if someone from the public who is part of a setting like that, you know, wanted to share, they find, in me, someone who could understand and respond to their interests and their concerns, you know, with some skill and personal background.

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: Okay. Thank you.

So you ended your time as pastor in 2001 and then became an associate pastor in 2007, so there's a six-year gap there that I want to ask about. The first ten years is a long time. Maybe your service was up?

But why did you end -- why did you stop being the pastor in 2001 for the New Life Christian Fellowship?

DR. YEE: Sure. So New Life, by the way, that was the church where we made that big denominational decision that I talked about earlier.

Even -- so during those ten years I was at New Life, I was not full-time there. I was actually teaching as well. So after my doctorate studies, I wanted to be mostly pastoring and then -- but also teaching, and so I was teaching that whole time during those ten years.

In the course of those ten years, I learned more about myself and learned more about pastoring and teaching and realized that my gifts were actually more towards teaching than pastoring. I think in my life, the way I'm wired, kind of the more structured nature of teaching fit me better. And I had better energy for that and more skill. I was actually able to be more pastoral as a professor, I found.

And so near the end of those ten years at New Life, as I looked at the church, they actually wanted me to stay on but it seemed to me that my gifts and interests were not what they needed most for going to the next step. They needed a different leader, somebody, perhaps, with a different skill

set than I had. And so that's why I wrapped it up there. I turned to mostly teaching.

My later associate pastor position was at the church I'm at now. And I was on staff for a few years when they were younger and smaller, and so -- but still mostly teaching at that time.

So I haven't been doing just one thing all those years, some, you know, on paid staff at churches, doing lots of volunteer things, as well as teaching.

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: Now tell me more about the teaching. I don't know if I see that in front of me. Where were you teaching?

DR. YEE: So, you know, as it happens, right now in American higher education, it's hard to believe but the majority of classes are taught by what's called contingent faculty. Contingent faculty are either graduate students or faculty that are not tenure track who are hired to teach a class. And so that's been my role, mostly, mostly in adjunct and in associate appointments.

And so teaching in recent years, my main appointment was at Fuller Theological Seminary, which is based in Pasadena, it's actually the largest Protestant seminary in the world, and

teaching in-person classes, and then more recently teaching mostly online classes. So teaching there, as well as other schools.

I did some teaching for a small seminary in Southern California, and then other settings, so

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: And what were the subjects that you teach or taught?

DR. YEE: So at the seminaries, I've taught mostly what's called Pastoral Ministry, so that's the work of pastoring, other than preaching, and so a lot of pastoral care, leadership, building teams. My particular interest is in Christian worship, and so that's the history of that, the cultural nature of it, how it's developed over time, the theology of it, of course, and so I like teaching in those areas. And I find what motivates me most is actually the cultural teaching and trying to help students appreciate it more, have more tools for thinking about it, talking about it, helping.

So right now, I'm actually involved with the Asian American Center at Fuller Theological Seminary. And I find a lot of my work there helps students kind of find their own voice and think

about their own stories and, you know, what does it mean to pastor or be in ministry in Asian American settings? How does it work trying to integrate themselves to the majority culture? And questions like that.

So that's something about my teaching.

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: Thank you.

Madam Secretary, can I get a time check?

MS. PELLMAN: Yes. Six minutes, fifteen seconds remaining.

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: Okay.

Now I'd like you to walk us through an example of a complex analysis you've performed in either your work or academic experience.

DR. YEE: Conflict? Is that a conflict analysis?

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: No, a complex.

DR. YEE: Complex analysis? Wow. I suppose my mind immediately goes to my doctoral research, the research of my doctoral program, which was sociolinguistic analysis of different approaches to Christian worship, so looking at Sunday worship and examining the difference between settings that are liturgical, so they have service books they -- I guess you could get all the script,

you know, for the service, written prayers, comparing that with all the traditions that don't use written liturgies, that are more extemporaneous in their approach to their worship.

And so this was a sociolinguistic analysis. And I used various models, including one called diglossia, looking at different ways that settings use language. And so I had to look at lots of different language, samples and critiques of those and analyses of those, and come up with a theory of the nature of the use of language in such settings and how formal and informal language relate to each other in those settings. So that was a big project.

Data analysis, complex analysis, I do
think of my running. It's an incredibly
quantitative process. Marathon racing and
training, there's all these sources of advice and
plans and you have to analyze them. You have to do
a lot of quantitative work, as well as qualitative
work, and look at evidence, you know, not just take
things at face value, compare things, talk to
people, decide on a plan, execute that plan,
evaluate it. And so doing that for myself and
doing that with others, a lot of complex analysis.

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: So, Madam Secretary, how much time do I have?

MS. PELLMAN: Three minutes, fifty seconds, 5-0.

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: So I want to come back to the linguistic analysis that you performed DR. YEE: Um-hmm.

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: Where did you get your information about the various forms of worship and the language used?

DR. YEE: It's a very tricky matter
because for the liturgical traditions, of course,
we have written, published, authorized liturgies
and so you have these, you know, these published
sources, you know, on paper that you can analyze.
And then, of course, you go into their settings and
you actually listen and look at those settings.

much trickier. So, of course, you visit them. You look at transcripts. You talk to people. You ask them how they approach -- you talk to worship leaders and ask them how they approached deciding what they're going to say. And so you collect data that way and try to analyze it was best you can. But that was definitely a challenge.

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: Okay. Thank you.

I have no further questions.

DR. YEE: Thank you, Mr. Belnap.

CHAIR COE: Thank you, Mr. Belnap.

Mr. Dawson?

MR. DAWSON: Thank you, Mr. Coe.

Good morning -- well, it's now afternoon,

Dr. Yee.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

21

DR. YEE: Yeah.

MR. DAWSON: Just a couple of follow-up

11 questions.

In your response to standard question one, you mentioned that you were -- had dived into the Online Academy of the Census.

DR. YEE: Yes.

MR. DAWSON: Is that intended to help

17 users of census data?

DR. YEE: That's correct. So the U.S.

19 Census, it's a little messy right now because

20 they're actually transitioning from their older

platform, which as American Fact Finder, to a newer

22 platform, which is at data.census.gov. So it's,

23 yeah, it's for the general public, as well as for

24 any specialists or professionals, to just learn how

25 to navigate census data and make good use of it,

and so, you know, helpful videos and tutorials for how to do that.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you.

It's kind of a broad question. What can the history of Oakland teach us about the history of California generally and how would that be -- how would that perspective be useful to the Commission?

DR. YEE: Wow. Oh, my goodness. You know, I think what the starting point is, is realizing that every place has an incredibly complex and, you know, multifaceted story behind it, really.

One of the very first things I always start with in my Oakland class is a unit on dispelling the idea of a single story. You cannot explain anyplace with a single story. And it's not that those single stories are not true necessarily. The trouble is that they don't tell the whole story; right?

So right now one of the big debates in Oakland and a lot of our big cities involves gentrification, right, and housing debates. And you could say, well, this is the whole story of Oakland right now, it's, you know, newcomers with

money displacing long-time residents with less money, you know? And that is part of our story. But that's, you know, just one fraction of our

So I think Oakland and its history, immigration, changes, dramatic changes, you know, historically, Oakland actually was a very conservative town and it changed a lot. It's changed, you know, dramatically two or three times in its history.

So just an appreciation for the complexity of places and stories, as well as, you know, Native American backgrounds and presence and the importance of all those things apply to the state as a whole as well.

MR. DAWSON: All right. Thank you.

I have no further follow-ups.

DR. YEE: Thank you, Mr. Dawson.

19 CHAIR COE: Ms. Dickison, any follow-up 20 questions?

PANEL MEMBER DICKISON: I have no follow-up questions.

CHAIR COE: Mr. Belnap?

24 PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: No further follow-up

25 questions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

story.

CHAIR COE: Mr. Dawson, I don't have any further follow-up questions either.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you.

Madam Secretary, how much time is remaining in the 90 minutes?

MS. PELLMAN: Four minutes, five seconds remaining.

MR. DAWSON: All right. Thank you.

Dr. Yee, with the time remaining, I'd like to give you the opportunity to make a closing -- make some closing remarks to the Panel, if you wish?

DR. YEE: I do wish. Thank you.

Thank you all for persevering in this very impressive and long process of the selection phase of the Redistricting Commission. I'm just really inspired by your work and by this process. And I have full confidence that whoever, eventually, ends up on the Commission, the 14, the lucky and the chosen, that they will do excellent work. And, you know, of course, I would love to be part of that work. But if I'm not, I will have full confidence in the work of the Commission.

As I think about the work of the 2010 Commission, and maybe even further back, the 2008

proposition that led to this Commission, it's hard to remember just how unlikely it all was, you know? I think the good work of the 2010 Commission makes it seem inevitable but it was not inevitable. It was not even likely. And I'm just thrilled to be a part of a state where we pulled this off, you know? We pulled it off well once and I would like to help it pull it off well again.

And as I look, as I read the news every day, as I'm really heartbroken over the polarization in our nation, the heavy debates, and some of the discouraging developments around voting rights, you know, being a poll worker last month and seeing up close and personal what the low voter turnout that is typical, sadly, for us looks like, you know, as I was at the precinct there just being kind of heartbroken about that low voter turnout, all the debates about the electoral college and sort forth, in the midst of all that we have this success of California citizen nonpartisan redistricting as a lot and as a positive development to our recent history.

23 And so I would love, I would love, love, 24 love to be part of this important work.

And so I just thank you, Mr. Coe, Mr.

Belnap, and Ms. Dickison, Mr. Dawson, the staff there, interpreters, the secretary, the video, our video friend, everybody, thank you so much for your time. Please be safe and thank you.

CHAIR COE: Thank you, Dr. Yee, for taking the time to speak with us this morning and afternoon a little bit.

Our next interview is scheduled for 1:15, so we will go into recess until 1:14.

10 (Thereupon the Panel recessed at 12:13 p.m.)

(Whereupon the Panel reconvened at 1:14 p.m.)

CHAIR COE: The time being 1:14 p.m., I'd like to call this meeting out of recess, back to order.

At this time, I'd like to welcome Ms.

16 Denisse Godoy for her interview.

I hope I said your name right?

MS. GODOY: You did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

21

23

19 CHAIR COE: Great. So, obviously, you're 20 here. Okay. Welcome. Thank you for being here.

I'd like to turn the time over to Mr.

22 Dawson to ask the five standard questions please.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Ms. Godoy, I'm going to ask you five

25 standard questions that the Panel has requested